

REVIEW Open Access

Endocrinology and physiology of pseudocyesis

Juan J Tarín^{1*}, Carlos Hermenegildo^{2,3}, Miguel A García-Pérez^{2,4} and Antonio Cano^{5,6}

Abstract

This literature review on pseudocyesis or false pregnancy aims to find epidemiological, psychiatric/psychologic, gynecological and endocrine traits associated with this condition in order to propose neuroendocrine/endocrine mechanisms leading to the emergence of pseudocyetic traits. Ten women from 5 selected studies were analyzed after applying stringent criteria to discriminate between cases of true pseudocyesis (pseudocyesis vera) versus delusional, simulated or erroneous pseudocyesis. The analysis of the reviewed studies evidenced that pseudocyesis shares many endocrine traits with both polycystic ovarian syndrome and major depressive disorder, although the endocrine traits are more akin to polycystic ovarian syndrome than to major depressive disorder. Data support the notion that pseudocyetic women may have increased sympathetic nervous system activity, dysfunction of central nervous system catecholaminergic pathways and decreased steroid feedback inhibition of gonadotropin-releasing hormone. Although other neuroendocrine/endocrine pathways may be involved, the neuroendocrine/endocrine mechanisms proposed in this review may lead to the development of pseudocyetic traits including hypomenorrhea or amenorrhea, galactorrhea, diurnal and/or nocturnal hyperprolactinemia, abdominal distension and apparent fetal movements and labor pains at the expected date of delivery.

Keywords: Catecholamines, Hypothalamus-pituitary-ovarian axis, Major depression disorder, Polycystic ovarian syndrome, Sympathetic nervous system

Background

According to the fifth edition of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) [1], pseudocyesis [from the Greek words pseudes (false) and kyesis (pregnancy); a.k.a. false, imaginary, simulated, phantom, hysterical or spurious pregnancy] is a rare disorder with characteristic somatic features. It is included in the Not Elsewhere Classified section of Somatic Symptom Disorders, a group of disorders that typically present first in non-psychiatric settings characterized predominantly by somatic symptoms or concerns that are associated with significant distress and/or dysfunction. The fact that it is included in a Not Elsewhere Classified section means that it is in a category by itself, different from other Somatic Symptom Disorders such as Functional Neurological Disorder (previously, Conversion Disorder).

Pseudocyesis is defined by the DSM-5 as a false belief of being pregnant that is associated with objective signs and reported symptoms of pregnancy, which may include

Cases of pseudocyesis nowadays are found more frequently in rural undeveloped countries where women usually are not examined by a physician or a midwife until they are in labor or seek medical aid [2,3]. In developed countries, women visit obstetricians in the first trimester of pregnancy who have more accurate means of diagnosis including pregnancy tests and ultrasonographic examinations. These diagnostic procedures may help pseudocyetic women to be convinced of their non-pregnant condition, that usually leads to resolution of pseudocyesis within minutes or even seconds. Furthermore, women from developed countries in general are more educated and sophisticated, and their emotional conflicts result in a more profound, refined mode of expression than that of pseudocyesis (cited by Pawlowski and Pawlowski [2]).

Table 1 shows the incidence of pseudocyesis registered in several USA and African hospitals. The relative high incidence of pseudocyesis found in these studies, except

¹Department of Functional Biology and Physical Anthropology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Valencia, Burjassot, Valencia 46100, Spain Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



abdominal enlargement, reduced menstrual flow, amenorrhea, subjective sensation of fetal movement, nausea, breast engorgement and secretions, and labor pains at the expected date of delivery.

^{*} Correspondence: tarinjj@uv.es

Table 1 Incidence of pseudocyesis

Frequency	Location	Race/Ethnicity	Reference
1/22000 births	Boston Hospitals, USA	?	[4]
1/344 of newly booked expectant mothers	St. Vincent's Hospital Ndubia, Ebonyi State, Nigeria	African black	[3]
1/250 maternity clinic admissions (24 out of 27 patients had tried to conceive without success for 2 to 17 years)	Jefferson Hospital, Philadelphia, USA	85% (23/27) African-American black; 15% (4/27) white	[5]
1/200 births	Baragwanath Hospital, South Africa	African black	[6]
1/160 women who had previously being investigated and managed for reproductive failure	Wad Medani Teaching Hospital, Sudan	African black	[7]

for Boston Hospitals [4], is likely due to the importance that most African black cultures give to fertility together with low educational background [3,6] and sterility problems [5,7]. For instance, in the Igbo culture of southeast Nigeria, married women wish to become pregnant because pregnancy and childbirth confirm womanhood and secure the woman's place in her husband family. This societal pressure may precipitate pseudocyesis as a psychological defense to intense stress if the woman proves to be infertile [3]. Recently, it has been reported that African-American women perceive higher average social messages to have children than White women (social messages were assessed in a national random-digit dial telephone survey via two items: it is important to my partner that we have children; and it is important to my parents that I have children) [8].

We should emphasize that the definition of pseudocyesis contrasts with delusion of pregnancy, deceptive or simulated pregnancy and erroneous pseudocyesis (for review, see O'Grady and Rosenthal [9]). Delusion of pregnancy is a Somatic Type (i.e., delusion that the individual has some general medical condition) Delusional Disorder classified by the DSM-5 within Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders. It refers to the false belief of being pregnant in the absence of physical sings suggestive of pregnancy that may be experienced by psychotic women [10-12] and men [13,14]. According to the DSM-5, the disorder is not a consequence of the direct physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or a general medical condition. Although at first glance it appears that it is easy to distinguish between true pseudocyesis (pseudocyesis vera) and delusion of pregnancy, sometimes delusions of pregnancy present along with physical traits of pseudocyesis [15-18] making difficult to perform a differential diagnosis.

A deceptive or simulated pregnancy is a *Factitious Disorder Imposed on Self* classified by the DSM-5 within the category of *Somatic Symptom Disorders*. In this case, the woman acknowledges being pregnant knowing that it is not true ([19,20]; for review, see O'Grady and Rosenthal [9]).

Finally, erroneous pseudocyesis refers to cases when the woman erroneously misinterprets symptoms suggestive of pregnancy, including amenorrhea, galactorrhea and/or

abdominal enlargement, resulting from either organic diseases (e.g., hormone-secreting tumor [21-23], alcoholic liver disease [24], cholecystitis [25], urinary tract infection complicated by urine retention [26]) or exposure to a substance (e.g., a medication [27-30]).

Whereas most studies have presented pseudocyesis cases as medical curiosities, a few studies have focused their attention on ascertaining the neuroendocrine/ endocrine mechanism underlying this condition. These studies, however, have not reported consistent changes in plasma levels of prolactin (PRL), follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), growth hormone (GH), estradiol (E₂), progesterone (P) and testosterone (T) (for reviews, see Small [31] and O'Grady and Rosenthal [9]). The lack of consistency among studies is not at all surprising if we take into account the small sample sizes and the different endocrine methodologies (e.g., different blood sampling frequency or type of assay for measuring hormones) used in these studies, as well as the ambiguous or null psychiatric/psychologic and/or diagnostic criteria applied to define and discriminate between cases of pseudocyesis vera versus delusional, simulated or erroneous pseudocyesis.

In this review, we aim to find epidemiological, psychiatric/psychologic, gynecological and endocrine traits associated with pseudocyesis vera in order to propose neuroendocrine/endocrine mechanisms leading to the development of pseudocyetic traits.

Methods

A review of the current and older literature on studies on human pseudocyesis was performed. The review was based on publications up to January 2013 identified by PubMed database searches using the following key words: pseudocyesis and women. In addition, a hand search was done to explore the references cited in the primary articles. Only studies in which women met the DSM-5 definition of pseudocyesis (see above) and provided clear evidence that women did not suffer from simulated or erroneous pseudocyesis were selected for entering into the study. Furthermore, in order to exclude cases of delusion of pregnancy and focus exclusively on pseudocyesis vera, only

studies in which a psychiatric/psychologic evaluation provided proof that women were non-psychotic were included in the study (Table 2). Two exceptions, however, were allowed: (1) a woman that refused psychiatric evaluation but her hormonal responses to thyroid-stimulating hormone (TRH) stimulation and dexamethasone suppression tests were similar to those seen in major depressive disorders [32]. This woman was entered into the study because most (8 out of 10 women) of the pseudocyetic women included in Table 2 suffered from mild to major depression; and (2) an unidentified member of a cohort of 5 women that was not psychologically evaluated for unreported reasons [33]. This woman was included in Table 2 because the potential bias that her inclusion may introduce in the analysis, if the woman suffered from delusion of pregnancy, is relatively small. In Table 3 we provide data from the studies excluded from the study that did not meet the inclusion criteria.

The chronological age of pseudocyetic women included in Table 2 ranged from 16 to 39 years. Eight women suffered from mild to major depression, one woman was not psychologically evaluated for unreported reasons [33] and another did not meet the criteria for depression disorder but exhibited a histrionic and hypochondriac personality [16]. As the majority of the pseudocyetic women suffered from mild to major depression and 3 [32-35] out of the 5 studies suggested that the endocrinological traits of pseudocyetic women resemble those of polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), data from pseudocyetic women were qualitatively compared with those reported for PCOS and major depressive disorder.

PCOS is a heterogeneous disease characterized by hyperandrogenemia (predominantly of ovarian origin: the ovaries produce up to 60% of androgens, while the remaining 40% is of adrenal origin), hirsutism, oligo- or amenorrhea and anovulation, and is frequently associated with hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance syndrome, increased cardiovascular risk and diabetes mellitus (for reviews, see McCartney et al. [36], Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group [58] and Burt Solorzano et al. [59]). Note as well that there are many subgroups of depression differing from each other in their neuroendocrine/endocrine traits. Thus, for the sake of homogeneity, we chose the well-characterized major depressive disorder group as a reference group.

It is important to mention that the studies included in Table 2 used different endocrine methodologies (e.g., different blood sampling frequency or type of assay for measuring hormones). For this reason, the absolute hormonal concentrations reported in these studies cannot be compared among studies. Instead, Table 2 and Table 3 provide the authors' original terminology that was used to describe whether hormonal levels were "elevated", "low" or "within normal range" when compared to control data

from either the own authors' laboratory [33,34] or from other contemporary sources [16,32,35].

Similarities and differences among pseudocyesis, PCOS and major depressive disorder

Table 4 shows a summary of the traits that characterize pseudocyesis, PCOS and major depressive disorder according to the variables included in Table 2. The comparative analysis shows that pseudocyetic women share many endocrine traits with PCOS and major depressive disorder, although these traits are more akin to PCOS than to major depressive disorder. Note that the fact that pseudocyetic women share many endocrine traits with PCOS does not necessarily mean that pseudocyetic women suffer from PCOS (we are not aware of any study reporting the presence of polycystic ovaries in pseudocyetic women). As mentioned above, pseudocyesis is a psychiatric condition. It is not a consequence of either organic diseases (including PCOS) or exposure to a substance.

Neuroendocrine/endocrine pathways of pseudocyesis

Most pseudocyetic women suffer from mild to major depression, anxiety and/or emotional stress due to psychologic conflicts or needs, such as women who simultaneously wish for children and fear becoming pregnant (for reviews, see Small [31], O'Grady and Rosenthal [9] and Whelan and Stewart [88]) or women who have an overwhelming desire to become pregnant because of societal pressures [3,7]. In this context, we should mention that patients with major depression have a deficit in brain dopamine and norepinephrine activity [89], and increased sympathetic nervous system activity associated with a higher rate of entry to plasma of norepinephrine released from sympathetic nerves (norepinephrine spillover rate) [90,91] and elevated plasma levels of norepinephrine [92]. In rodents, chronic psychosocial stress is also associated with reduced brain dopamine and norepinephrine activity and elevated plasma levels of norepinephrine (for reviews, see Goddard et al. [93] and Rasheed and Alghasham [94]). PCOS women also show low dopamine hypothalamic tone (for review, see Hernández et al. [95]).

Data from the present review indicate that pseudocyetic women may have a deficit in brain dopamine activity. This fact supports the notion that pseudocyetic women may have a dysfunction of central nervous system catecholaminergic pathways involved in the regulation of anterior pituitary hormone secretion. Dopamine [96] and norepinephrine [97] have been recently identified in mice as potent steroid-independent inhibitors of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neuron excitability and firing. Moreover, dopamine in women inhibits pulsatile LH (for review, see Jaffe et al. [98]) and PRL (for review, see Ben-Jonathan and Hnasko [48]) secretion. Thus, a deficit/dysfunction in brain

Table 2 Epidemiological, psychiatric/psychologic, gynecological and endocrinological traits of 10 pseudocyetic women analyzed in 5 studies that fulfilled the inclusion criteria laid down in the Methods section

N° of women	Women's age (y)	Psychiatric/ psychologic traits	Gynecological traits	LH ^a	FSH	LH/ FSH ^a ratio	T ^b	E ₂	Р	GH	PRL ^c	Cortisol or DHEAS	Response to inhibitors of the pituitary- adrenal axis	Response to GnRH	TSH and/ or response to TRH ^e	Response to dopamine agonists (bromocriptine, apomorphine and L-DOPA) ^f or opiate antagonists (naloxone) ^g	Reference
1	16	Mild depression	Amenorrhea (9 months) and galactorrhea	Elevated with increased frequency of pulses (mid-cycle surge levels)	Within normal range	≈ 5.7	-	Within the mid- follicular phase range	slightly higher than the follicular phase range	Normal diurnal episodic secretion	Distinctly elevated (similar to the mid- cycle surge)	-	-	-	Basal TSH within normal range	-	[34]
1	39	Depression	Amenorrhea (5 months) and galactorrhea	≈ 0.2 IU/L	≈ 14 IU/ L	≈ 0.1	-	Within the follicular phase range	Within the follicular phase range	-	Within the normal range	-	-	Normal increase in LH and FSH after GnRH	Normal increase in TSH and PRL, and paradoxical increase in GH (normal basal levels of TSH)	Normal decrease in PRL after bromocriptine and normal decrease in PRL and blunted increase in GH after apomorphine	[35]
1	27	Likely unipolar depressive disorder	Amenorrhea (9 months) and galactorrhea	Within follicular phase range	Within follicular phase range	2.0	Within mid- follicular phase range	Within the mid- follicular phase range	Within mid- follicular phase range	-	Within the normal range	Minimally elevated DHEAS levels	Normal suppression of A.M. cortisol but ACTH remained elevated after overnight low- dose (1 mg) dexamethasone ^d	-	Small increase in TSH, normal increase in PRL and paradoxical increase in GH (normal basal levels of TSH and T ₄)	-	[32]
5	19, 29, 33, 34, 35	4 women with depression and hysteria	Amenorrhea (4–15 months) and galactorrhea	Within normal range	Within normal range	8.0, 6.6, 2.7, 2.9, 4.4	_	Within normal range (4 women)	1 woman within the follicular phase range, and 4 women slightly higher than the follicular phase range	-	Slightly elevated (4 women) and within the normal range (1 woman)	-	-	-	-	No increase in LH or PRL after naloxone	[33]

Table 2 Epidemiological, psychiatric/psychologic, gynecological and endocrinological traits of 10 pseudocyetic women analyzed in 5 studies that fulfilled the inclusion criteria laid down in the Methods section (Continued)

1	30	Histrionic personality suffering from major depressive disorder and borderline personality disorder	Amenorrhea (7 months) and galactorrhea	Elevated with frequency of pulses higher than late- follicular phase	Within normal range	≈ 11.3	Consistently elevated	Elevated (late- follicular phase levels)	Not elevated (late- follicular phase levels)	Decreased nocturnal peaks of GH	Normal levels with greater release during sleep than during daytime	Normal cortisol levels rising during sleep and reaching a maximum at 08.00- 09.00 h	 Decrease in PRL levels and no increase in GH after L-DOPA	[16]
1	38	Histrionic and hypochondriac personality	Amenorrhea (> 5 months) and galactorrhea	Normal with frequency of pulses similar to late- follicular phase	Within normal range	≈ 2.9	Consistently elevated	Elevated (late- follicular phase levels)	Not elevated (late- follicular phase levels)	Decreased nocturnal peaks of GH	Normal levels with greater release during sleep than during daytime	Normal cortisol levels rising during sleep and reaching a maximum at 08.00- 09.00 h	 Decrease in PRL and no increase in GH after L- DOPA	[16]

^aDespite discrepancies among studies in levels of LH, all the studies included in Table 2 excluding one [35] evidenced LH/FSH ratios higher than 2.0. Moreover, the two studies [16,34] that measured and analyzed pulsatile patterns of LH in 3 women reported increased ("higher than or similar to the late follicular phase" [16] or "similar to the set of E₂ similar to those found at the late-follicular phase [16], and high levels of PRL similar to those observed mid-cycle just before ovulation [34]. As the frequency of LH pulses increases during the pre-ovulatory period in women with normal menstrual cycles (for review, see McCartney et al. [36]), these data suggest that the 3 pseudocyetic women with high frequency of pulses were in the pre-ovulatory phase of the menstrual cycle at the time blood samples were collected. However, it is very unlikely that the 3 pseudocyetic women restarted ovarian cyclicity just before going to the doctor after being amenorrheic for a long (5 to 7 months). On the contrary, women may have restarted ovarian cyclicity after being informed of her non-pregnant state. In fact, 2 women menstruated 3 [16] and 2 [34] weeks, respectively, after being told that they were not really pregnant (basal hormone determinations were performed before women were informed of their non-pregnant state). Of note, in the woman that menstruated 2 weeks after disclosure of diagnosis, the distended abdomen disappeared within 30 min and the basal levels of LH, PRL and E₂ decreased shortly after being informed of her false pregnancy. All these circumstances support the notion that the higher frequency of LH pulses evidenced in these women was indeed due to their pseudocyetic condition rather than to spontaneous resumption of ovarian cyclicity before going to the doctor.

bln these studies, total serum T concentrations were measured by direct radioimmunoassay, a method that suffers from a number of serious problems. This assay often overestimates T concentrations and has limited accuracy at T < 10.4 nmol/L at concentrations typically found in women [37]. Moreover, total serum T levels vary across the menstrual cycle. In normal cycling women, T levels are relatively high at the mid-follicular phase, peaking on the day of LH peak [38,39]. As mentioned above, despite the 2 women analyzed by Starkman et al. [16] displayed LH pulse characteristics and E₂ and P levels similar to those found at the late-follicular phase, these traits were likely associated to their pseudocyetic condition. Therefore, the "consistently elevated" T levels reported by Starkman et al. [16] cannot be ascribed to women being at the late-follicular phase (when serum T levels are highest). In fact, the 2 women exhibited T concentrations (5.2 and 4.2 nmol/L, respectively) much higher than those observed in normal cycling women at mid-cycle using direct radioimmunoassay (≈3.3 nmol/L [38]), isotope dilution-liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (1.7 nmol/L [39]) or automated delayed one step chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (2.0 nmol/L [39]).

^cAll the women included in Table 2 had galactorrhea despite 50% of them being normoprolactinemic. The absence of correlation between the presence of galactorrhea and levels of PRL may be explained by the fact that blood sample collections were performed in the morning in the majority of the studies. Such a sampling schedule may have concealed the possible occurrence of transient nocturnal hyperprolactinemia associated with galactorrhea and diurnal normoprolactinemia as reported in infertile normoprolactinemic women [40]. In fact, the only study in Table 2 that determined PRL levels in 2 pseudocyetic women during the height [16] reported rising levels during sleep but normal levels during the day. Although the pattern of pituitary PRL release in women with normal cycles follows this circadian rhythm (for review, see Bouilly et al. [41]), the nocturnal PRL concentrations (≈869.6 to ≈ 2174.0 pmol) reported by Starkman et al. [16] are more consistent with those found at night in women with norturnal hyperprolactinemia, galactorrhea and diurnal normoprolactinemia (608.7 to 1130.4 pmol) than with those displayed by women with normal cycles (347.8 to 608.7 pmol) [40]. Interestingly, the galactorrhea exhibited by women with normal phyperprolactinemia and diurnal normoprolactinemia improved in ≈ 90% (8/9) of cases after treatment with the dopamine agonist bromocriptine [40]. These facts suggest that normoprolactinemic pseudocyetic women (50% in the present review) may have occult hyperprolactinemia due to a deficit in brain dopamine activity.

^dThe discrepancy between the cortisol and adrenocorticotropin hormone (ACTH) response to dexamethasone may be due to the low sensitivity and specificity of the ACTH assay used in this study [42]. However, the fact that after resolution of pseudocyesis both ACTH and cortisol levels decreased after dexamethasone administration suggests that the discordant response observed by Ayers and Seiler [32] was associated with pseudocyesis.

eAfter resolution of pseudocyesis, women had no GH response to TRH [32,35] and the blunted or reduced thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) response reverted to normal [32] which occurs in patients with major depression after clinical recovery [43,44]. The mechanism of the paradoxical response of GH to TRH has not been yet elucidated (cited by Arita et al. [45]). However, some authors have speculated about the occurrence of a disruption of the normal neuroendocrine regulatory mechanisms and/or alteration of the cellular receptors of the somatotroph cells in adenomatous tissue [46].

Depamine stimulates hypothalamic GH-releasing hormone (GHRH) release [47] but inhibits the high intrinsic PRL secretory activity of the pituitary lactotrophs as well as PRL gene expression and lactotroph proliferation [48]. However, the elevated levels of T displayed by pseudocyetic women may decrease the response of GH to apomorphine and L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA) since T directly stimulates somatostatin [a.k.a. GH-inhibiting hormone (GHIH) or somatotropin release-inhibiting factor (SRIF)] release from the periventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (for review, see Spiliotis [49]). It should be emphasized that the decreased GH secretion after apomorphine evidenced by Tulandi et al. [35] reverted to normal after resolution of pseudocyesis.

⁹It is known that endogenous opioid peptides inhibit simultaneously LH and PRL secretion mediated by steroid-dependent suppression of hypothalamic release of GnRH (for review, see Yen et al. [50]). In fact, women with normal cycles exhibit a positive LH and PRL response to naloxone during the late-follicular and mid-luteal phases (when E₂ and P levels are relatively high) but not in the early-follicular phase of the menstrual (for review, see Yen et al. [50]). Note that although the pseudocyetic women analyzed by Devane et al. [33] had P levels "slightly higher" [mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM): 8.9 ± 2.5 nmol/L] than the normal follicular phase range (<3.2 nmol/L [33]), levels of E₂ (200.8 ± 47.7 pmol/L) were within the early follicular range (73.4 to 212.2 pmol/L [51]). Thus, the presence of relatively low levels of E₂ may explain the absence of response of LH and PRL to naloxone evidenced by Devane et al. [33] such as occurs in women with normal cycles in the early-follicular phase cycle or in hypogonadal women (for review, see Yen et al. [50]) and, therefore, it does not support a role for brain opioid peptides in pseudocyesis.

Page 6 of 12

Table 3 Epidemiological, psychyatric/psychologic, gynecological and endocrinological traits of pseudocyetic women from studies discarded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria laid down in the Methods section

N° of women	Women's age (y)	Psychiatric/ psychologic traits	Gynecological traits	LH	FSH	LH/ FSH ratio	T	E ₂	Р	GH	PRL	Cortisol or DHEAS	Response to GnRH or EB ^a	TSH and/or response to TRH	Response to dopamine agonists ^b or antagonists ^c	Reference
2	19, and 33	-	Amenorrhea (6 and 8 months, respectively), galactorrhea, and presence of a proliferative endometrium	Total gonadotropin activity below the normal limits found in normal cycling women	Total gonadotropin activity below normal limits found in normal cycling women	-	-	Total estrogen activity below normal range found in normal cycling women	-	-	Distinctly elevated	-	Marked increase in LH and, to a lesser extent, FSH after GnRH	Marked increase in PRL and normal increase in TSH (basal levels of total T ₄ , free T ₄ and thyroid binding globulins within normal range)	-	[52]
6	$38.0 \pm 2.5^{\circ}$	-	Amenorrhea and galactorrhea	Slightly elevated but within normal range	Within normal range	11.5	-	-	-	-	Markedly elevated	-	-	-	-	[53]
6	$42.8 \pm 6.3^{\circ}$	-	Amenorrhea without galactorrhea	Markedly elevated	Elevated	21.3	-	-	-	-	Within normal range	-	-	-	-	[53]
2	40 and 26	No treatment with tranquilizers in the past	Amenorrhea (3 and 7 months, respectively), galactorrhea, and recurrence of pseudocyesis ^e	Normal	Normal	0.6 and 2.1	-	Within the follicular phase range	Within the follicular phase range	-	Within the normal range	-	Marked or normal increase in LH and normal increase in FSH after GnRH	Marked increase in PRL, normal increase in TSH and paradoxical increase in GH after TRH (normal basal levels of TSH and T ₄ , and normal fasting blood glucose levels)	Blunted increase of GH (PRL was not measured) after apomorphine ^a in one woman and no response of GH and normal decrease in PRL after bromocriptine ^a in the other woman	[54]
1	16	-	Amenorrhea (5 months) without galactorrhea, and presence of a proliferative endometrium	Elevated (within the climacteric range)	Within the follicular phase range	2.9	-	Within the follicular phase range	Within the follicular phase range	-	Within the normal range	-	Normal increase in LH and FSH after GnRH; and normal positive feedback of LH after EB	Normal PRL and TSH increase after TRH (normal basal levels of TSH)	Normal increase in PRL after metoclopramide ^b	[55]
1	17	-	Amenorrhea (8 months) without galactorrhea, and the presence of a proliferative endometrium	Within the follicular phase range	Within the follicular phase range	0.9	-	Within the follicular phase range	Within the follicular phase range	-	Within the normal range	-	Normal increment in LH and FSH after GnRH, and normal positive feedback of LH after EB	Normal PRL and TSH increase after TRH (normal basal levels of TSH)	Normal increase of PRL after metoclopramide ^b	[55]

Table 3 Epidemiological, psychyatric/psychologic, gynecological and endocrinological traits of pseudocyetic women from studies discarded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria laid down in the Methods section (Continued)

5	19-35 (median 22)	Women with a psychiatric condition that precluded informed consent were excluded	Amenorrhea (5.5-12.5 months), galactorrhea (3 women), and hirsutism (3 women, one of them with clitoromegaly)	Below the upper normal limit (<20 IU/ L) with either low-normal or definitely low pulse amplitudes (early-follicular phase)	Within the follicular phase range	1.2	Elevated in 3 women. Another women with mildly elevated FAI ^f	Within the early- follicular range	Within the early- follicular range.	-	Normal levels with greater release during sleep than during daytime (1 women displayed high PRL levels)	-	-	-	-	[56]
9	24.9 ± 2.2 ^d	-	Amenorrhea (3–12 months) and galactorrhea (8 women)	Within the follicular phase range	Within the follicular phase range	1.1	-	6 women with lower levels than the follicular phase range	2 women with luteal phase levels	5 women exhibited impaired GH responses to hypoglycemia (4 of them also displayed E ₂ deficiency)	Within follicular phase range	Normal responses of cortisol to hypoglycemia (peak responses exceeded 600 nmol/L)	4 women with exaggerated LH response (2 of them also with exaggerated FSH response) after GnRH	Normal PRL and TSH increase after TRH (1, 1 and 2 women had exaggerated PRL, GH and TSH responses, respectively)	Decrease in PRL. In 4 women, no increase in GH after L-DOPA ^a (3 of these women displayed also impaired GH response to hypoglycemia)	[57]

^aEstradiol benzoate.

^bDopamine agonist.

^cDopamine antagonist.

^{&#}x27;Mean ± SEለ

eThis was the 40-year-old woman with 3 months of amenorrhea and a LH: FSH ratio of 0.6 [the same woman analyzed by Tulandi et al. [35] (see Table 2)].

^fFree androgen index.

Table 4 Comparative analysis of pseudocyesis, PCOS and major depressive disorder traits according to the variables included in Table 2^a

Variables included in Table 2	Pseudocyesis	PCOS	Major depressive disorder
Amenorrhea	Yes	Yes [36,59]	No [60]
Galactorrhea	Yes	Yes ^b	No [60]
LH/FSH ratio	Increased	Increased ^c	?
Frequency of LH pulses	Increased	Increased ^c	Decreased frequency and dysrhythmic pulses [60]
T levels	Elevated	Elevated [61]	Elevated [62]
E ₂ levels	Within the (broad) normal ranges	Within the (broad) normal ranges [63]	Decreased levels in the follicular phase ^d
P levels	Within or slightly higher than the follicular phase range	Within either the follicular phase range or the lutheal phase range ^e	Normal P levels on the first day after menstruation [62] and higher P levels in the luteal phase [64]
GH levels	Decreased nocturnal peaks and normal diurnal episodic secretion	Low, normal or elevated levels with impaired diurnal secretion [65]	Decreased nocturnal secretion [66]
PRL levels	Normal (putative occult hyperprolactinemia), slightly elevated or distinctly elevated	Hyperprolactilemia (10-15% of PCOS women) [36]	Normal [62]
DHEAS levels	Minimally elevated	Elevated (20-33% of PCOS women [67])	Normal [68]
Cortisol levels	Normal	Normal [67]	Disturbed diurnal rhythms, higher evening levels and accentuated post-awakening surge [69]
Response of ACTH to dexamethasone	Escape from suppression	?	Some individuals escape from suppression [42]
Response of cortisol to dexamethasone	Suppression	Suppression [70]	Some individuals escape from suppression [42] ^f
Response of LH and FSH to GnRH	Normal increase	Higher increase in LH and normal increase in FSH [71]	Normal increase [62]
Response of GH to TRH	Paradoxical increase	Paradoxical increase (≈42% of PCOS women [46])	Paradoxical increase [43]
Response of TSH to TRH	Normal or small increase	?	Small increase [43,44]
Response of GH to dopamine agonists	Deficient or reduced increase	Deficient or reduced increase [72,73] ^g	Normal [74]or reduced increase [75-77]
Response of LH and/or PRL to opioid receptor antagonists	No increase in LH and PRL	Small increase in LH and no increase in PRL [78,79]	Increase in LH [80] ^h

^aCommon traits of pseudocyesis, PCOS and/or major depressive disorder are highlighted using bold text.

women and men as control subjects. Despite these methodological deficiencies, there is evidence of dysregulated endogenous opioid emotion regulation circuitry in women with major depressive disorder [87].

catecholaminergic activity may result in increased pulsatile GnRH, LH and PRL and an elevated LH/FSH ratio. These endocrine changes may induce hypomenorrhea or amenorrhea, galactorrhea and diurnal and/or nocturnal

hyperprolactinemia - traits found in most pseudocyetic women. Also, the reduced brain catecholaminergic activity displayed by pseudocyetic women may combine/interact with a drop in steroid feedback inhibition of GnRH. In

^bAlthough the true incidence of galactorrhea in PCOS remains unclear and is probably only 1 or 2%, a study published in 1980 [81] reported that \approx 24% of PCOS women show galactorrhea irrespectively of the concomitant presence of either hyperprolactinemia (\approx 14%) or normoprolactinemia (\approx 10%).

PCOS women have increased GnRH pulse frequency because of androgen-mediated decreased GnRH sensitivity to P feedback inhibition. The higher GnRH pulse frequency increases LH pulsatility and favors LH production over FSH (for reviews, see McCartney et al. [36] and Burt-Solorzano et al. [59]).

^dWhereas untreated premenopausal women suffering from major depression display E₂ levels on the first day after menstruation similar to those found in healthy age-matched control women [62], they often have plasma levels of E₂ in the follicular phase significantly lower than control healthy women (for reviews, see Swaab et al. [82] and Williams et al. [60]), although they exhibit higher amplitudes of diurnal E₂ rhythms [83].

^eLevels in PCOS women show the typical changes associated with either anovulation (P deficiency, i.e., within the follicular phase range) or ovulation (within the luteal phase range) [84,85]. Note that nearly 12% of oligo- or amenorrheic PCOS women show signs of spontaneous ovulation based on random P assessment [86].

^fSome of the discrepancies between cortisol and ACTH responses to dexamethasone in major depressed individuals may be due to the low sensitivity and specificity of the ACTH assays used in some studies [42].

⁹The hyperandrogenemia in PCOS may contribute to the relatively reduced response of GH to dopamine agonists (for review, see Spiliotis [49]).

^hThe study by Martín del Campo et al. [80] is methodologically flawed. In particular, they analyzed a heterogeneous group of women with major depression (4 post-menopausic, 2 eumenorrheic and one with irregular cycles) and did not control for the phase of the menstrual cycle. In addition, they used both

particular, the relatively high levels of T found in pseudocyetic women may decrease GnRH sensitivity to P feedback inhibition resulting in increased GnRH pulse frequency, elevated LH pulsatility and higher LH production over FSH such as occurs in PCOS women (for reviews, see McCartney et al. [36] and Burt-Solorzano et al. [59]). Finally, the increased sympathetic nervous system activity likely shown by pseudocyetic women may be implicated, not only in the apparent fetal movements and labor pains at the expected date of delivery felt by some pseudocyetic women, but also in the typical abdominal enlargement displayed by most pseudocyetic women.

Several authors have proposed a mixture of causes to explain the physiological mechanism by which abdominal swelling takes place in pseudocyetic women. This mixture of causes includes chronic contraction of the diaphragmatic muscle (this contraction pushes the bowel downward in the abdominal cavity), assumption of a lordotic posture, increased omental and abdominal wall fat, and mild to marked constipation and/or bowel distention (for reviews, see Small [31], O'Grady and Rosenthal [9] and Whelan and Stewart [88]). However, the distended abdomen, that may remain bloated for months immediately (within minutes or even seconds) disappears, with or without release of flatus, after pseudocyetic women are convinced of their non-pregnant state or under anesthesia. This observation indicates that although increased omental and abdominal wall fat, and mild to marked constipation and/or bowel distention may contribute to abdominal enlargement, they are not primary causes of abdominal protrusion. Furthermore, unlike normal pregnancy, the umbilicus does not become everted, the abdominal distension is uniform and rounded, and the abdominal wall presents a rubbery, tense muscular tone being tympanic on percussion. All these facts point to the diaphragm and/or certain groups of muscles of the abdominal wall as the primary cause of abdominal enlargement. In particular, pseudocyetic women may experience chronic contraction of the diaphragm accompanied by abnormal patterns of contraction/relaxation of the anterior abdominal and internal oblique muscles. This mechanism, called abdomino-phrenic dyssynergia, has been observed in patients with abdominal bloating and distension after colonic-gas-load-induced abdominal distension [99]. Interestingly, literature shows cases of persistent [100] or spasmodic (spasms resembling those of a woman in labor [101]) hysterical abdominal proptosis likely resulting from abdomino-phrenic dyssynergia.

It is worth mentioning that, in contrast to normal individuals that can push out the abdominal wall only for a relatively short period of time even in the presence of the quietest respiration, persistent hysterical abdominal proptosis is unaffected by a cough or by a sneeze, nor by emptying the bladder or by straining at stool [100].

However, it disappears after relaxing the patient's diaphragm by having the patient take a deep inspiration followed by a sudden expiration or by having the patient hold her/his breath as long as possible such as occurs in pseudocyesis (for reviews, see Alvarez [102] and O'Grady and Rosenthal [9]).

Importantly, the 2 phrenic nerves that originate in the neck (mainly from the 4th cervical nerve, but which also receive contributions from the 5th and 3rd cervical nerves) and pass down between the lung and heart to reach the diaphragm, contain not only motor fibers but also proprioceptive and sympathetic fibers to the diaphragm, and sensory fibers to the pleura and pericardium. Therefore, dysfunction of the sympathetic nervous system in pseudocyetic women, mediated directly via impulses transmitted through the sympathetic nervous system and/or indirectly via plasma norepinephrine released from sympathetic nerves or secreted from the adrenal medulla, may induce abdomino-phrenic dyssynergia resulting in abdominal protrusion.

Concluding remarks

In this literature review, we have analyzed epidemiological, psychiatric/psychologic, gynecological and endocrine traits of 10 pseudocyetic women reported in 5 selected studies after applying stringent criteria to discriminate between cases of pseudocyesis vera versus delusional, simulated or erroneous pseudocyesis. The analysis performed shows that pseudocyetic women share many endocrine traits with PCOS and major depressive disorder, although these traits are more akin to PCOS than to major depressive disorder.

The reviewed data support the notion that pseudocyetic women may have: increased sympathetic nervous system activity; dysfunction of central nervous system catecholaminergic pathways involved in the regulation of hormone secretion from adenohypophysis; and decreased steroid feedback inhibition of GnRH. These neuroendocrine/endocrine disorders may cause hypomenorrhea or amenorrhea, galactorrhea, diurnal and/or nocturnal hyperprolactinemia, abdominal distension and apparent fetal movements and labor pains at the expected date of delivery - traits exhibited by most pseudocyetic women. However, other neuroendocrine/endocrine pathways not yet analyzed in pseudocyetic women may also be involved in the development of pseudocyetic traits. These may include, the hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal axis; neurotransmitters controlling GnRH neuron excitability [96]; and dopamine stimulators and inhibitors of PRL secretion (for review, see Ben-Jonathan and Hnasko [48]).

Abbreviations

ACTH: Adrenocorticotropin hormone; CCK: Cholecystokinin; DHEAS: Dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; E₂: Estradiol; EB: Estradiol benzoate; FAI: Free androgen index; FSH: Follicle stimulating hormone; GABA: γ-aminobutyric acid; GH: Growth hormone; GHIH: GH-inhibiting hormone;

GHRH: GH-releasing hormone; GnRH: Gonadotropin-releasing hormone; L-DOPA: L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; LH: Luteinizing hormone; P: Progesterone; PRL: Prolactin; PCOS: Polycystic ovarian syndrome; SEM: Standard error of the mean; SRIF: Somatotropin release-inhibiting factor; T: Testosterone; T₄: Thyroxine; TSH: Thyroid-stimulating hormone; TRH: Thyrotropin-releasing hormone.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

JJT was involved in the conception and design of the study, the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data and drafting of the article. CH, MAGP and AC were involved in the analysis and interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the contribution of a native English speaking scientist (Dr. ST) for carefully proofreading and correcting the manuscript for grammar and spelling mistakes. This study was supported by PS09/00136 from Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, cofinanced by the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER).

Author details

¹Department of Functional Biology and Physical Anthropology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Valencia, Burjassot, Valencia 46100, Spain. ²Research Unit-INCLIVA, Hospital Clínico de Valencia, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia 46100, Spain. ³Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia 46100, Spain. ⁴Department of Genetics, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Valencia, Burjassot, Valencia 46100, Spain. ⁵Department of Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia 46100, Spain. ⁶Service of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital Dr. Peset, Valencia 46017, Spain.

Received: 22 February 2013 Accepted: 2 May 2013 Published: 14 May 2013

References

- American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th edition. Washinton, DC: APA; 2013. in preparation for publication in May 2013.
- Pawlowski EJ, Pawlowski MM: Unconscious and abortive aspects of pseudocyesis. Wis Med J 1958, 57:437–540.
- Ouj U: Pseudocyesis in a rural southeast Nigerian community. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2009, 35:660–665.
- Cohen LM: A current perspective of pseudocyesis. Am J Psychiatr 1982, 139:1140–1144.
- Fried PH, Rakoff AE, Schopbach RR, Kaplan AJ: Pseudocyesis; a psychosomatic study in gynecology. J Am Med Assoc 1951, 145:1329–1335.
- Brenner BN: Pseudocyesis in Blacks. S Afr Med J 1976, 50:1757–1759.
- 7. Dafallah SE: Pseudocyesis and infertility. Saudi Med J 2004, 25:964–965.
- Mcquillan J, Greil AL, Shreffler KM, Wonch-Hill PA, Gentzler KC, Hathcoat JD: Does the reason matter? variations in childlessness concerns among U.S. women. J Marriage Fam 2012, 74:1166–1181.
- O'Grady JP, Rosenthal M: Pseudocyesis: a modern perspective on an old disorder. Obstet Gynecol Surv 1989, 44:500–511.
- Brooks JG: Pseudocyesis in a 6-year-old girl: follow-up report at 23. J Am Acad Child Psychiatr 1985, 24:359–362.
- 11. Milner GL, Hayes GD: Pseudocyesis associated with folie à deux. Br J Psychiatr 1990, 156:438–440.
- 12. Harland RF, Warner NJ: Delusions of pregnancy in the elderly. Int J Geriatr Psychiatr 1997, 12:115–117.
- Shutty MS Jr, Leadbetter RA: Case report: recurrent pseudocyesis in a male patient with psychosis, intermittent hyponatremia, and polydipsia. Psychosom Med 1993, 55:146–148.
- 14. Adityanjee AM: Delusion of pregnancy in males: a case report and literature review. *Psychopathology* 1995, **28**:307–311.
- Hardwick PJ, Fitzpatrick C: Fear, folie and phantom pregnancy: pseudocyesis in a fifteen-year-old girl. Br J Psychiatr 1981, 139:558–560.

- Starkman MN, Marshall JC, La Ferla J, Kelch RP: Pseudocyesis: psychologic and neuroendocrine interrelationships. Psychosom Med 1985, 47:46–57.
- Hernández-Rodríguez I, Moreno MJ, Morano LE, Benavente JL: Systemic lupus erythematosus presenting as pseudocyesis. Br J Rheumatol 1994, 33:400–402.
- Ahuja N, Vasudev K, Lloyd A: Hyperprolactinemia and delusion of pregnancy. Psychopathology 2008, 41:65–68.
- 19. Posner LB, de Chabert RA, Posner AC: Simulated pregnancy following pseudocyesis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1962, **83**:976–977.
- 20. Daw E: Pseudocyesis. Br J Clin Pract 1973, 27:181–183.
- 21. Chambers WR: **Brain tumor simulating pregnancy.** *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1955, **70**:212–213.
- Echániz A, Millán A, del Río Fuentes A, Pedreira JD: Pseudopregnancy and gastric adenocarcinoma. Med Clin (Barc) 1984, 83:307–308.
- Manzi D, Greenberg B, Maier D, Forouhar F, Malchoff CD: Bronchogenic carcinoma presenting as a pseudopregnancy. Chest 1995, 107:567–569.
- Alfonso CA: Pseudocyesis with concomitant medical illness. Gen Hosp Psychiatr 1990, 12:205–206.
- Benzick JM: Illusion or hallucination? Cholecystitis presenting as pseudopregnancy in schizophrenia. Psychosomatics 2000, 41:450–452.
- Yeh YW, Kuo SC, Chen CY: Urinary tract infection complicated by urine retention presenting as pseudocyesis in a schizophrenic patient. Gen Hosp Psychiatr 2012, 34:101.e9–101.e10.
- 27. Flanagan PJ, Harel Z: Pseudocyesis in an adolescent using the long-acting contraceptive Depo-Provera. *J Adolesc Health* 1999, **25**:238–240.
- Ahuja N, Moorhead S, Lloyd AJ, Cole AJ: Antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia and delusion of pregnancy. Psychosomatics 2008, 49:163–167
- Manjunatha N, Saddichha S: Delusion of pregnancy associated with antipsychotic induced metabolic syndrome. World J Biol Psychiatr 2009, 10:669–670
- Seeman MV: Antipsychotic-induced amenorrhea. J Ment Health 2011, 20:484–491.
- 31. Small G: Pseudocyesis: an overview. Can J Psychiatr 1986, 31:452–457.
- Ayers JW, Seiler JC: Neuroendocrine indices of depression in pseudocyesis. A case report. J Reprod Med 1984, 29:67–70.
- 33. Devane GW, Vera MI, Buhi WC, Kalra PS: Opioid peptides in pseudocyesis. *Obstet Gynecol* 1985, **65**:183–188.
- Yen SS, Rebar RW, Quesenberry W: Pituitary function in pseudocyesis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1976, 43:132–136.
- 35. Tulandi T, McInnes RA, Mehta A, Tolis G: Pseudocyesis: pituitary function before and after resolution of symptoms. *Obstet Gynecol* 1982, **59**:119–121
- McCartney CR, Eagleson CA, Marshall JC: Regulation of gonadotropin secretion: implications for polycystic ovary syndrome. Semin Reprod Med 2002, 20:317–326.
- Rosner W, Auchus RJ, Azziz R, Sluss PM, Raff H: Position statement: Utility, limitations, and pitfalls in measuring testosterone: an Endocrine Society position statement. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007, 92:405–413.
- Massafra C, De Felice C, Agnusdei DP, Gioia D, Bagnoli F: Androgens and osteocalcin during the menstrual cycle. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1999, 84:971–974.
- Bui HN, Sluss PM, Blincko S, Knol DL, Blankenstein MA, Heijboer AC: Dynamics of serum testosterone during the menstrual cycle evaluated by daily measurements with an ID-LC-MS/MS method and a 2nd generation automated immunoassay. Steroids 2013, 78:96–101.
- Asukai K, Uemura T, Minaguchi H: Occult hyperprolactinemia in infertile women. Fertil Steril 1993, 60:423–427.
- Bouilly J, Sonigo C, Auffret J, Gibori G, Binart N: Prolactin signaling mechanisms in ovary. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2012, 356:80–87.
- Maes M, Van Gastel A, Blockx P, Martin M, Cosyns P, Scharpé S, Desnyder R: An augmented escape of androstenedione from suppression by dexamethasone in melancholia: relationships to intact ACTH and cortisol nonsuppression. J Affect Disord 1995, 34:291–300.
- 43. Maeda K, Kato Y, Ohgo S, Chihara K, Yoshimoto Y, Yamaguchi N, Kuromaru S, Imura H: Growth hormone and prolactin release after injection of thyrotropin-releasing hormone in patients with depression.

 J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1975, 40:501–505.
- Extein I, Pottash AL, Gold MS, Silver JM: Thyroid-stimulating hormone response to thyrotropin-releasing hormone in unipolar depression before and after clinical improvement. Psychiatr Res 1982, 6:161–169.
- 45. Arita H, Kinoshita M, Oshino S, Kitamura T, Otsuki M, Kasayama S, Shimokawa T, Shimomura I, Yoshimine T, Saitoh Y: **Biological**

- characteristics of growth hormone-producing pituitary adenomas are different according to responsiveness to thyrotropin-releasing hormone. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012, **97**:2741–2747.
- Kaltsas T, Pontikides N, Krassas GE, Seferiadis K, Lolis D, Messinis IE: Growth hormone response to thyrotrophin releasing hormone in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Hum Reprod 1999, 14:2704–2708.
- Chihara K, Kashio Y, Kita T, Okimura Y, Kaji H, Abe H, Fujita T: L-dopa stimulates release of hypothalamic growth hormone-releasing hormone in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1986, 62:466–473.
- Ben-Jonathan N, Hnasko R: Dopamine as a prolactin (PRL) inhibitor. *Endocr Rev* 2001, 22:724–763.
- Spiliotis BE: Growth hormone insufficiency and its impact on ovarian function. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2003, 997:77–84.
- Yen SS, Quigley ME, Reid RL, Ropert JF, Cetel NS: Neuroendocrinology of opioid peptides and their role in the control of gonadotropin and prolactin secretion. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1985, 152:485–493.
- Hugues JN, Theron-Gerard L, Coussieu C, Pasquier M, Dewailly D, Cedrin-Durnerin
 Assessment of theca cell function prior to controlled ovarian stimulation: the predictive value of serum basal/stimulated steroid levels. Hum Reprod 2010. 25:228–234.
- Zarate A, Canales ES, Soria J, Jacobs LS, Daughaday WH, Kastin AJ, Schally AV: Gonadotropin and prolactin secretion in human pseudocyesis: effect of synthetic luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH) and thyrotropin releasing hormone (TRH). Ann Endocrinol (Paris) 1974, 35:445–450.
- Osotimehin BO, Ladipo OA, Adejuwon CA, Otolorin EO: Pituitary and placental hormone levels in pseudocyesis. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1981, 19:399–402
- Tulandi T, McInnes RA, Lal S: Altered pituitary hormone secretion in patients with pseudocyesis. Fertil Steril 1983, 40:637–641.
- Forsbach G, Güitron A, Munoz M, Bustos H: Pituitary function in human pseudocyesis. J Endocrinol Invest 1987, 10:39–43.
- Bray MA, Muneyyirci-Delale O, Kofinas GD, Reyes Fl: Circadian, ultradian, and episodic gonadotropin and prolactin secretion in human pseudocyesis. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh) 1991, 124:501–509.
- 57. Padayachi T, Ashe R, Moodley J, Jialal I: Pituitary function tests in black patients with pseudocyesis. S Afr Med J 1991, 79:24–26.
- Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group: Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril 2004, 81:19–25.
- Burt-Solorzano CM, Beller JP, Abshire MY, Collins JS, McCartney CR, Marshall JC: Neuroendocrine dysfunction in polycystic ovary syndrome. Steroids 2012, 77:332–337.
- Williams KE, Marsh WK, Rasgon NL: Mood disorders and fertility in women: a critical review of the literature and implications for future research. Hum Reprod Update 2007, 13:607–616.
- 61. Legro RS, Schlaff WD, Diamond MP, Coutifaris C, Casson PR, Brzyski RG, Christman GM, Trussell JC, Krawetz SA, Snyder PJ, Ohl D, Carson SA, Steinkampf MP, Carr BR, McGovern PG, Cataldo NA, Gosman GG, Nestler JE, Myers ER, Santoro N, Eisenberg E, Zhang M, Zhang H, Reproductive Medicine Network: Total testosterone assays in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: precision and correlation with hirsutism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010, 95:5305–5313.
- Baischer W, Koinig G, Hartmann B, Huber J, Langer G: Hypothalamicpituitary-gonadal axis in depressed premenopausal women: elevated blood testosterone concentrations compared to normal controls. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 1995, 20:553–559.
- Laven JS, Imani B, Eijkemans MJ, Fauser BC: New approach to polycystic ovary syndrome and other forms of anovulatory infertility. Obstet Gynecol Surv 2002. 57:755–767.
- Hardoy MC, Serra M, Carta MG, Contu P, Pisu MG, Biggio G: Increased neuroactive steroid concentrations in women with bipolar disorder or major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2006, 26:379–384.
- Kaltsas T, Pontikides N, Krassas GE, Seferiadis K, Lolis D, Messinis IE: Effect of gonadotrophin-releasing hormone agonist treatment on growth hormone secretion in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Hum Reprod 1998. 13:22–26.
- Jarrett DB, Kupfer DJ, Miewald JM, Grochocinski VJ, Franz B: Sleep-related growth hormone secretion is persistently suppressed in women with recurrent depression: a preliminary longitudinal analysis. J Psychiatr Res 1994, 28:211–223.
- 67. Yildiz BO, Azziz R: The adrenal and polycystic ovary syndrome. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord* 2007, **8:**331–342.

- Kurita H, Maeshima H, Kida S, Matsuzaka H, Shimano T, Nakano Y, Baba H, Suzuki T, Arai H: Serum dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and DHEA-sulfate (S) levels in medicated patients with major depressive disorder compared with controls. J Affect Disord 2013, 146(2):205–212.
- 69. Herbert J: Cortisol and depression: three questions for psychiatry. *Psychol Med* 2012, **8**:1–21.
- Polson DW, Reed MJ, Scanlon MJ, Quiney N, Franks S: Androstenedione concentrations following dexamethasone suppression: correlation with clomiphene responsiveness in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Gynecol Endocrinol 1988, 2:257–264.
- Lewandowski KC, Cajdler-Łuba A, Salata I, Bieńkiewicz M, Lewiński A: The utility of the gonadotrophin releasing hormone (GnRH) test in the diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Endokrynol Pol 2011, 62:120–128.
- Acar B, Kadanali S: Diminished growth hormone responses to L-dopa in polycystic ovarian disease. Fertil Steril 1993, 60:984–987.
- Lee EJ, Lee BS, Lee HC, Park KH, Song CH, Huh KB: Growth hormone response to L-dopa and pyridostigmine in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Fertil Steril 1993, 60:53–57.
- McPherson H, Walsh A, Silverstone T: Growth hormone and prolactin response to apomorphine in bipolar and unipolar depression. J Affect Disord 2003, 76:121–125.
- Ansseau M, Von Frenckell R, Cerfontaine JL, Papart P, Franck G, Timsit-Berthier M, Geenen V, Legros JJ: Blunted response of growth hormone to clonidine and apomorphine in endogenous depression. Br J Psychiatr 1988, 153:65–71.
- Pitchot W, Ansseau M, Gonzalez Moreno A, Hansenne M, von Frenckell R: Dopaminergic function in panic disorder: comparison with major and minor depression. *Biol Psychiatr* 1992, 32:1004–1011.
- Pitchot W, Hansenne M, Gonzalez Moreno A, Ansseau M: Growth hormone response to apomorphine in panic disorder: comparison with major depression and normal controls. Eur Arch Psychiatr Clin Neurosci 1995, 245:306–308.
- Barnes RB, Lobo RA: Central opioid activity in polycystic ovary syndrome with and without dopaminergic modulation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1985, 61:779–782
- Blank SK, McCartney CR, Marshall JC: The origins and sequelae of abnormal neuroendocrine function in polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod Update 2006, 12:351–361.
- Martín del Campo AF, Dowson JH, Herbert J, Paykel ES: Diurnal variations in endocrine and psychological responses to 0.2 mg/kg naloxone administration in patients with major depressive disorder and matched controls. J Affect Disord 2000, 57:37–47.
- Wortsman J, Hirschowitz JS: Galactorrhea and hyperprolactinemia during treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome. Obstet Gynecol 1980, 55:460–463
- 82. Swaab DF, Bao AM, Lucassen PJ: The stress system in the human brain in depression and neurodegeneration. *Ageing Res Rev* 2005, **4:**141–194.
- Bao AM, Ji YF, Van Someren EJ, Hofman MA, Liu RY, Zhou JN: Diurnal rhythms of free estradiol and cortisol during the normal menstrual cycle in women with major depression. Horm Behav 2004, 45:93–102.
- Anderson RA, Groome NP, Baird DT: Inhibin A and inhibin B in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome during treatment with FSH to induce mono-ovulation. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1998, 48:577–584.
- Fraser IS, Kovacs G: Current recommendations for the diagnostic evaluation and follow-up of patients presenting with symptomatic polycystic ovary syndrome. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2004, 18:813–823.
- Burgers JA, Fong SL, Louwers YV, Valkenburg O, de Jong FH, Fauser BC, Laven JS: Oligoovulatory and anovulatory cycles in women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): what's the difference?
 J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010, 95:E485–E489.
- 87. Machado-Vieira R, Zarate CA Jr: Proof of concept trials in bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: a translational perspective in the search for improved treatments. *Depress Anxiety* 2011, **28**:267–281.
- 88. Whelan CI, Stewart DE: Pseudocyesis—a review and report of six cases. Int J Psychiatr Med 1990, 20:97–108.
- Lambert G, Johansson M, Agren H, Friberg P: Reduced brain norepinephrine and dopamine release in treatment-refractory depressive illness: evidence in support of the catecholamine hypothesis of mood disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatr 2000, 57:787–793.
- Esler M, Turbott J, Schwarz R, Leonard P, Bobik A, Skews H, Jackman G: The peripheral kinetics of norepinephrine in depressive illness. Arch Gen Psychiatr 1982, 39:295–300.

- Veith RC, Lewis N, Linares OA, Barnes RF, Raskind MA, Villacres EC, Murburg MM, Ashleigh EA, Castillo S, Peskind ER, Pascuali M, Halter JB: Sympathetic nervous system activity in major depression. Basal and desipramine-induced alterations in plasma norepinephrine kinetics. Arch Gen Psychiatr 1994, 51:411–422.
- Roy A, Pickar D, Linnoila M, Potter WZ: Plasma norepinephrine level in affective disorders. Relationship to melancholia. Arch Gen Psychiatr 1985, 42:1181–1185
- Goddard AW, Ball SG, Martinez J, Robinson MJ, Yang CR, Russell JM, Shekhar A: Current perspectives of the roles of the central norepinephrine system in anxiety and depression. *Depress Anxiety* 2010, 27:339–350.
- Rasheed N, Alghasham A: Central dopaminergic system and its implications in stress-mediated neurological disorders and gastric ulcers: short review. Adv Pharmacol Sci 2012, 2012:182671.
- Hernández I, Parra A, Méndez I, Cabrera V, Cravioto MC, Mercado M, Díaz-Sánchez V, Larrea F: Hypothalamic dopaminergic tone and prolactin bioactivity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Arch Med Res 2000, 31:216–222
- Liu X, Herbison AE: Dopamine regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone neuron excitability in male and female mice. Endocrinology 2013, 154:340–350.
- Han SK, Herbison AE: Norepinephrine suppresses gonadotropin-releasing hormone neuron excitability in the adult mouse. Endocrinology 2008, 149:1129–1135
- Jaffe RB, Plosker S, Marshall L, Martin MC: Neuromodulatory regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone pulsatile discharge in women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990, 163:1727–1731.
- Villoria A, Azpiroz F, Burri E, Cisternas D, Soldevilla A, Malagelada JR: Abdomino-phrenic dyssynergia in patients with abdominal bloating and distension. Am J Gastroenterol 2011, 106:815–819.
- 100. Ewing MR, Millar JH: Hysterical abdominal proptosis; records of a case. Br Med J 1955, 2:1124–1125.
- Lerner MA: Hysterical abdominal proptosis. Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med 1974, 122:275–277.
- Alvarez WC: Hysterical type of nongaseous abdominal bloating. Arch Intern Med (Chic) 1949, 84:217–245.

doi:10.1186/1477-7827-11-39

Cite this article as: Tarín et al.: Endocrinology and physiology of pseudocyesis. Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology 2013 11:39.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

