RESEARCH



Letrozole-stimulated endometrial preparation protocol is a superior alternative to hormone replacement treatment for frozen embryo transfer in women with polycystic ovary syndrome, a cohort study



Xiaojuan Wang^{1,2†}, Yuan Li^{2†}, Hongzhuan Tan¹, Sufen Cai², Shujuan Ma², Yangqin Peng², Hui Guo², Xiaofeng Li², Yi Tang², Shunji Zhang², Ge Lin^{2,3} and Fei Gong^{2,3*}

Abstract

Background The current routine endometrial preparation protocol for women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is hormone replacement treatment (HRT). Letrozole is rarely used in frozen embryo cycles. Evidence confirming whether letrozole-stimulated (LS) protocol is suitable for frozen embryo transfer in patients with PCOS and for whom is suitable remains lacking.

Methods This was a retrospective cohort study involving all frozen embryo transfer cycles with LS and HRT for PCOS during the period from Jan 2019 to December 2020 at a tertiary care center. Multivariate Logistic regression was used to analyze the differences in clinical pregnancy rate, live birth rate, miscarriage rate, the incidence of other pregnancy and obstetric outcomes between LS and HRT protocols after adjusting for possible confounding factors. Subgroup analysis was used to explore the population for which LS protocol was suitable.

Results The results of multivariate logistic regression showed that LS was significantly associated with a higher clinical pregnancy rate (70.9% vs. 64.4%; aOR:1.41, 95%CI: 1.18,1.68), live birth rate (60.5% vs. 51.4% aOR:1.49, 95%CI: 1.27,1.76), and a lower risk of miscarriage (14.7% vs. 20.1% aOR: 0.68, 95%CI: 0.53,0.89), hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (6.7% vs. 8.9% aOR: 0.63, 95%CI: 0.42,0.95), and gestational diabetes mellitus (16.7% vs. 20.7% aOR:0.71, 95%CI: 0.53,0.93) than HRT. There were no significant differences in other outcomes such as preterm birth, cesarean delivery, small for gestational age, or large for gestational age between the two endometrial preparation protocols. Subgroup analysis showed that LS had higher live birth rates than HRT in most of the subgroups; in the three subgroups of maternal age \geq 35 years, menstrual cycle < 35 days, and no insulin resistance, the live birth rates of the two endometrial preparation protocols were comparable.

[†]Xiaojuan Wang and Yuan Li are considered similar in author order.

*Correspondence: Fei Gong gongfei0218@hotmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Conclusions LS protocol could improve the live birth rate and reduce the incidence of miscarriage, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and gestational diabetes mellitus in patients with PCOS. LS protocol is suitable for all types of patients with PCOS. LS should be considered the preferred endometrial preparation protocol for women with PCOS.

Keywords Polycystic ovary syndrome, Frozen embryo transfer, Endometrial preparation, Letrozole, Hormone replacement treatment

Background

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrinopathy affecting reproductive-aged women, with heterogeneous features that include irregular or absent menstrual periods, hyperandrogenism, and related metabolic and.

psychological sequelae [1]. The prevalence of PCOS ranges from 5% to 18% depending on the population studied and definitions used [2]. PCOS is the primary cause of and accounts for 90% of anovulatory infertility [3]. Studies have found that frozen embryo transfer (FET) can lower the rates of pregnancy loss and ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) and improve the live birth rate (LBR) compared to fresh embryo transfer in women with PCOS [4]. FET is considered to be more suitable for infertile women with PCOS, and freeze-only strategy is recommended by International Evidence-based Guide-line [2].

Endometrial preparation is the key to the success of FET. By far, no superiority has been shown for any regimen for endometrial preparation for women with PCOS. Since women with PCOS typically have irregular or oligo-anovulation [5], the most frequently used cycle regimen for women with PCOS is hormonal replacement treatment (HRT). In HRT cycles, the endometrium is prepared with programmed estrogen and progesterone, providing convenience for planning the time of embryo transfer. However, HRT is expensive. Furthermore, once pregnancy is established, exogenous estrogen and progesterone cannot be withdrawn until the placenta is formed to substitute for the absent corpus luteum. Recent findings suggest that the absence of the corpus luteum in HRT cycles may increase the risk for hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) [6]. Large retrospective cohort studies have shown FET of HRT cycles to be associated with a higher risk of HDP than FET of natural ovulatory [7] or letrozole-stimulated cycles [8]. In addition, longterm use of exogenous estrogen may increase the risk of thromboembolic events [9].

Letrozole, a third-generation aromatase inhibitor, reduces the production of estrogen via aromatase inhibition [10]. A hypoestrogenic state causes release of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis from estrogenic negative feedback, which in turn increases follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) secretion and ovarian follicle development. Because letrozole does not antagonize estrogen receptors and maintains the normal central feedback, it generally leads to mono-ovulatory cycles, which can reduce the risk of OHSS [11]. In addition, letrozole has a short halflife of about 48 h, so estrogen-target tissues (such as the endometrium and cervix) are potentially spared adverse effects [11, 12]. Legro et al. found that as compared with clomiphene, letrozole was associated with higher live birth and ovulation rates among infertile women with PCOS [13]. In 2018, EBG recommended that letrozole should be considered first-line pharmacological treatment for ovulation induction in women with PCOS and anovulatory infertility [2]. However, letrozole is still rarely used in FET. To date, only a few retrospective studies and a small sample RCT have compared the effects of letrozole-stimulated (LS) and HRT protocols. Most of these studies were small [14-16] and only two showed live birth outcomes [14, 17]. Studies comparing the safety of the two protocols, especially in women with PCOS, are also scarce, and there is currently no large cohort study spanning from infertility treatment to delivery that comprehensively compares the effectiveness and safety of the two endometrial preparation protocols and provides conclusions regarding protocol superiority. In addition, patients with PCOS are heterogeneous and phenotypically diverse, and there is a lack of evidence on whether LS protocol is suitable for some specific phenotypes.

This study aims to comprehensively compare the effectiveness and safety (including pregnancy and obstetric outcomes) of HRT and LS in FET cycles performed in women with PCOS through a cohort, and explore the suitable population of LS, so as to provide evidencebased evidence for the selection of endometrial preparation protocol for women with PCOS.

Methods

Study population and design

The was a retrospective cohort study conducted at the Reproductive and.

Genetic Hospital of CITIC-Xiangya. All FET cycles performed in women with PCOS from January 2019 to December 2020 were reviewed for potential inclusion. The diagnosis of PCOS was based on modified Rotterdam criteria [18], i.e. menstrual abnormalities (irregular uterine bleeding, oligomenorrhea, or amenorrhea) with hyperandrogenism (hirsutism and/or hyperandrogenemia) and/or polycystic ovaries (at least an ovary containing 12 or more antral follicles of 2 to 9 mm in diameter and/or at least an ovary volume>10 cm³). HRT and LS cycles with live birth outcome were included in the study. Participants were excluded if any of the following exclusion criteria were met: (1) use of preimplantation genetic test; (2) a history of recurrent spontaneous abortion; (3) presence of congenital uterine malformations; or (4) use of sequential embryo transfer.

Endometrial preparation

In LS protocol, letrozole (2.5 mg: letrofome, Zhejiang Hisun Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Zhejiang, China) was administered for 5 consecutive days, during days 3 to 5 of a natural or progesterone(P)-induced menstrual cycle. Ultrasound monitoring and serum hormone measurement were performed from cycle days 12–13 onwards.

- (1) If the leading follicle reached a diameter of \geq 12 mm on days 12–13, transvaginal ultrasound was repeated until ovulation. When the diameter of the dominant follicle was \geq 18-20 mm without ovulation and the endometrium thickness was ≥ 8 mm, P was<1ng/ ml, human chorionic gonadotropin ampules (HCG) 5000-10,000 IU was injected for final oocyte triggering. Luteal support (10 mg, twice daily; Duphaston, Abbott Biologicals B.V., Abbott Park, IL) was initiated on the day of ovulation and continued until 28 days after embryo transfer if a pregnancy occurred. For luteinized unruptured follicles, the day when the P level was \geq 1.4ng/ml after 48 h of injecting HCG or the day when the follicular diameter was \geq 18–20, urine luteinizing hormone (LH) was positive, and P was \geq 1.4 ng/ml was assumed to be the ovulation day.
- (2) If the diameter of a dominant follicle was < 12 mm and P was < 1ng/ml on day 12-13, a daily dosage of 75 IU Human Menopausal Gonadotropin (HMG) (Livzon Pharmaceutical Group Inc.) was supplemented to stimulate follicle growth. If there was a dominant follicle after using HMG for 3-5 days, HMG was used continuously until the day of HCG; if there was still no dominant follicle but the thickness of the endometrium reached ≥ 8 mm and P was < 1ng/ml, it would be replaced with HRT protocol to transform the endometrium, and these cycles would be not included in the analysis. If there were more than 3 dominant follicles (follicle diameter \geq 14 mm) or endometrial thickness was < 8 mm on the day before transfer, the LS cycle would be canceled.

In the HRT protocol, oral estradiol (E2) valerate tablet (3 mg, twice daily, Progynova, Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany) administration was commenced on days 3–5 of a natural or P-induced menstrual cycle. Ten days later, the endometrial thickness reached \geq 8 mm with P<1ng/ml, P vaginal suppositories (200 mg, three times daily; Utrogestan, Besins Healthcare, Paris, France)

were given an oral Dydrogesterone Tablets (10 mg, twice daily; Duphaston, Abbott Biologicals B.V.) was initiated on the next day. If clinical pregnancy was confirmed, the E2 valerate dose was reduced to 4 mg per day, and the dose would be reduced again to 2 mg per day at 45 days after embryo transfer until the drug was discontinued at 55 days after embryo transfer. The combination of Utrogestan and Duphaston was used until 45 days after embryo transfer, and then Duphaston was used alone until 70 days after embryo transfer. If P was >1ng/ml on the day of luteal support or endometrial thickness was <8 mm on the day before transfer, the HRT cycle would be canceled.

Embryo vitrification, thawing, and transfer

All embryos were graded before freezing. On day 3, embryos were scored using the Puissant's criterion, and blastocysts were graded according to Gardner and Schoolcraft's system on days 5/6/7. All embryos were vitrified and thawed using a Kitazato vitrification kit (Kitazato Biopharma, Shizuoka, Japan) in combination with closed High-Security Vitrification Straws (Cryo Bio System, France). Embryos were thawed on the day of transfer. Thawed embryos were prioritized based on best quality before freezing. The thawed embryos were then transferred to G2.5 medium and cultured for 2–6 h. Embryos were considered as survival and suitable for transfer when half or more of the blastomeres recovered or the blastocyst re-expanded.

Two cleavage-stage embryos, one good-quality blastocyst, or 1-2 non-good blastocysts (depending on the patient's condition or wishes) were transferred. For LS protocol, the day of ovulation was defined as day 0, cleavage stage embryos were transferred on day 3, and blastocysts were transferred on day 5. For the HRT protocol, the day of starting progesterone supplementation was considered as P+0, cleavage stage embryos were transferred on the day of P+3, and blastocysts were transferred on the day of P+5.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome was live birth, defined as the delivery of a viable infant at 28 gestational weeks or more. The secondary outcomes included clinical pregnancy (presence of at least one gestational sac in the uterine cavity on ultrasound at approximately 28 days after embryo transfer), miscarriage (loss of clinical pregnancy before the 28th gestational week), early miscarriage (loss of clinical pregnancy before the 12th gestational week), hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (defined as the development of blood pressure >140/90 mm Hg after pregnancy with or without proteinuria or other signs of preeclampsia, including preeclampsia and gestational hypertension and excludes chronic hypertension), gestational diabetes

mellitus (GDM) (defined as carbohydrate intolerance of variable severity with onset or first recognition during pregnancy as determined from the diagnosis in the obstetrical medical record), preterm birth (delivery of a fetus at less than 37 and more than 28 weeks' gestational age), stillbirth (death of a child born at a gestational age of ≥ 20 weeks or weighing ≥ 500 g), mode of delivery, small gestational age (SGA) (birthweight below the 10th percentile for gestational age), and large gestational age (LGA) (birthweight above the 90th percentile for gestational age). The reference of birthweight for gestational age and neonatal gender was based on the Chinese population [19, 20].

Definitions of covariates

Good quality embryos included day 3 cleavage stage embryos with grade 7CI /8CI or day 5/6 blastocysts with grade 4BB or higher. Insulin resistance (IR) was diagnosed when at least one of the following five criteria were met: fasting insulin level>20miu/m; homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) \geq 2.69, which was simplified by the formula: fasting insulin (mU/dL) * fasting blood glucose (mmol/L)/22.5; insulin level at 1 h after a meal was 10 times higher than fasting insulin level; insulin levels at 2 h after a meal>insulin levels at 1 h after a meal; insulin level at 3 h after a meal did not fall to the fasting level (below 20miu/ml). Central obesity, elevation of fasting plasma glucose (FPG), and elevation of blood pressure were defined according to the diagnostic criteria for metabolic syndrome [21]. Central obesity was defined as waist circumference for Asian women≥80 cm. Elevation of FPG was defined as FPG≥5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) or specific medication to treat. Elevation of blood pressure was defined as blood pressure≥130/85 mm Hg or specific medication to treat. PCOS was subdivided into four phenotypes: phenotype A: hyperandrogenism+ovulatory dysfunction+polycystic ovarian morphology; phenotype B: hyperandrogenism+ovulatory dysfunction; phenotype C: hyperandrogenism+polycystic ovarian morphology; and phenotype D: ovulatory dysfunction+polycystic ovarian morphology [22].

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were represented as means±standard deviation, and categorical variables were described as frequency and percentage. Differences between HRT and LS groups were evaluated by Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables. The crude and adjusted odds ratios (cOR and aOR, respectively) of LS compared with HRT for pregnancy and obstetric outcomes were evaluated by logistic regression analysis. Factors with statistically significant differences in univariate analysis and those that had potential impact on outcomes (e.g. endometrial thickness before transfer, number of embryos transferred, quality of embryos transferred, developmental stage of embryos transferred and basic diseases of women (including moderate to severe intrauterine adhesions, untreated hydrosalpinx, adenomyosis, endometritis and scarred uterus)) were included in the multivariate models for adjustment. To further explore the population for which LS may be applicable, effects of LS on LBR were analyzed in the subgroup analysis. Lastly, interactions of the endometrial preparation protocols with the stratification factors were analyzed using binary logistic regression.

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, US). Forest plots for subgroup analyses were drawn using R software (version 4.2.2). Significance tests were two-tailed and conducted at the 0.05 significance level.

Results

A total of 3707 FET cycles in patients with PCOS were included in the analysis. Of these, 1700 cycles underwent HRT, and 2007 cycles underwent LS protocol. The basic characteristics of the two groups are shown in Table 1. The results of univariate analysis showed that there were statistically significant differences in maternal age, maternal body mass index (BMI), FSH, LH/FSH, anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), antral follicle count (AFC), progesterone (P), and E2 before embryo transfer, developmental stage of embryos transferred, and IR between the two groups, and other characteristics were comparable (Table 1).

Pregnancy and obstetric outcomes

The clinical pregnancy rate (CPR, 70.9% vs. 64.4%, *P*<0.001), LBR (60.5% vs. 51.4%, *P*<0.001), and incidence of SGA in singleton pregnancies (6.0% vs. 3.3%, P=0.013) in the LS group were higher than in the HRT group. In contrast, the miscarriage rate (14.7% vs. 20.1%, P<0.001), early miscarriage rate (10.4% vs. 13.8%, P=0.009), incidence of GDM (16.7% vs. 20.7%, P=0.020), cesarean delivery (72.2% vs. 76.6%, P=0.023), LGA (15.0% vs. 20.0%, P=0.009) in singleton pregnancies, and LGA (10.6% vs. 15.3%, P=0.035) in twin pregnancies were lower in the LS group than in the HRT group. The incidence of HDP, preterm birth, stillbirths, and the number of fetuses were comparable between the two groups (Table 2). Letrozole cycles were further divided into letrozole alone and letrozole+HMG subgroups. The incidence of SGA in singleton pregnancies was higher in the letrozole alone subgroup than in the letrozole+HMG subgroup, and other pregnancy and obstetric outcomes were comparable between the two subgroups (Additional Table 1).

	ristics of HRT and	<u> </u>					
Characteristics	HRT group (n = 1700)	LS group (n=2007)	Р				
Maternal age (years)	29.49 ± 3.58	29.86 ± 3.76	0.002				
Maternal body mass index(kg/m ²)	22.44±2.91	22.27±2.96	0.033				
Infertility duration (years)	3.64±2.44	3.61 ± 2.5	0.443				
Gravidity	0.67 ± 1.03	0.68 ± 1.04	0.905				
Parity	0.07 ± 1.05 0.11 ± 0.34	0.12 ± 0.36	0.379				
Cause of infertility	0.11 ± 0.5 1	0.12 ± 0.50	0.177				
Male factor	453(29.53)	520(26.98)	0.177				
Endometriosis	22(1.43)	25(1.30)					
Uterine factor	258(16.82)	295(15.31)					
Tubal factor	718(46.81)	982(50.96)					
Unexplained infertility	83(5.41)	105(5.45)					
FSH (IU/L)	5.28 ± 1.41	5.47 ± 1.47	< 0.001				
LH (IU/L)	7.35 ± 6.02	7.19±5.25	0.403				
LH/FSH	1.41 ± 1.06	1.34±0.95	0.009				
Total T (ng/mL)	1.47±6.46	2.41±9.17	0.055				
AMH (ng/mL)	12.46±6.69	11.13±6.73	< 0.001				
AFC	47.48±24.6	48.82±23.44	0.007				
Menstrual cycle (days)	57.98±36.08	53.92±28.06	0.144				
P before embryo transfer(ng/ml)	8.64±3.87	13.64±6.99	< 0.001				
E2 before embryo transfer(pg/ml)	448.97±674.36	110.79±184.88	< 0.001				
Endometrial thickness before transfer(mm)	11.58±1.7	11.49±1.64	0.353				
Number of embryos transferred	1.55±0.5	1.58±0.49	0.097				
Quality of embryos transferred			0.198				
Good quality	1124(66.12)	1367(68.11)					
Non-good quality	576(33.88)	640(31.89)					
Developmental stage of embryos transferred			0.010				
Blastocyst (day 5)	1126(66.24)	1247(62.13)					
Cleavage stage (day 3)	574(33.76)	760(37.87)					
Phenotypes of metabolic disorder							
IR	1355(79.71)	1694(84.4)	< 0.001				
Elevation of fasting plasma glucose	212(15.33)	247(14.02)	0.301				
Elevation of blood pressure	282(17)	320(16.14)	0.486				
Central obesity	470(27.65)	509(25.36)	0.116				
Date were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation or number (%)							

 Table 1
 Basic characteristics of HRT and LS groups

Difference between the groups were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U-test or chi-squared test

After adjusting for possible confounding factors using multivariate logistics regression models, LS remained significantly associated with higher CPR (aOR, 1.41; 95% CI,1.18–1.68), LBR (aOR,1.49; 95% CI, 1.27–1.76) and a lower risk of miscarriage (aOR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.53–0.89), early miscarriage (aOR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.51–0.94), HDP

Table 2 Pregnancy and ob	stetric outcomes of HRT and LS
----------------------------------	--------------------------------

groups							
Outcomes	HRT cycles (n = 1700)	LS cycles (n=2007)	Р				
Clinical pregnancy	1095(64.4)	1423(70.9)	< 0.001				
rate							
Miscarriage rate	220(20.1)	209(14.7)	< 0.001				
Early miscarriage rate	151(13.8)	148(10.4)	0.009				
Hypertensive disor- ders of pregnancy	78(8.9)	81(6.7)	0.056				
Gestational diabetes mellitus	181(20.7)	203(16.7)	0.020				
Live birth rate	874(51.4)	1214(60.5)	< 0.001				
Gestational age (weeks)	37.41±2.34	37.43±1.99	0.175				
Preterm birth	278(31.8)	390(32.1)	0.878				
Stillbirth	1(0.1)	0(0.00)	0.254				
Mode of delivery			0.023				
Vaginal	204(23.4)	337(27.8)					
Cesarean delivery	668(76.6)	875(72.2)					
Number of fetuses							
1	701(80.2)	924(76.1)	0.048				
2	173(19.8)	288(23.7)					
3 or more	0(0)	2(0.2)					
Birthweight							
Singleton	3311.6±540.13	3271.7±507.03	0.039				
Twin	2440.26 ± 520.42	2511.23±434.49	0.199				
SGA							
Singleton	23(3.3)	55(6.0)	0.013				
Twin	13(3.8)	22(3.8)	0.962				
LGA							
Singleton	140(20.0)	139(15.0)	0.009				
Twin	53(15.3)	61(10.6)	0.035				
Date were expressed	s the mean+stand	ard deviation or nu	mbor (%)				

Date were expressed as the mean±standard deviation or number (%). Difference between the groups were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U-test or chi-squared test

(aOR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.42–0.95), and GDM (aOR,0.71; 95% CI, 0.53–0.93) compared with HRT (Table 3).

Subgroup analysis of live birth

Factors including maternal age, BMI, AMH, menstrual cycle, IR, elevation of FPG, elevation of blood pressure, central obesity, and phenotypes of PCOS were stratified and effects of LS on LBR were analyzed in the subgroup analysis. LS had higher LBRs than HRT in nearly all subgroups, except for the maternal age \geq 35 years, menstrual cycle < 35 days, and no IR subgroups. There was no significant interaction found between these stratified factors and endometrial preparation protocols (Fig. 1).

Discussion

This large retrospective cohort study found that LS improved CPR and LBR, reduced miscarriage rate, and lowered the risk of HDP and GDM compared with HRT in women with PCOS. The results of subgroup analysis

Table 3 Crude and adjusted odds rations of pregnancy and obstetric outcomes of HRT and LS groups

	5 1		
Outcomes	cOR (95%CI)	aOR (95%CI)	
Clinical pregnancy rate	1.35(1.17,1.55)	1.41(1.18,1.68) ^a	
Miscarriage rate	0.68(0.56,0.84)	0.68(0.53,0.89) ^a	
Early miscarriage rate	0.73(0.57,0.92)	0.69(0.51,0.94) ^a	
Hypertensive disorders of	0.73(0.53,1.01)	0.63(0.42,0.95) ^a	
pregnancy			
Gestational diabetes mellitus	0.77(0.62,0.96)	0.71(0.53,0.93) ^a	
Live birth rate	1.45(1.27,1.65)	1.49(1.27,1.76) ^a	
Preterm birth	0.99(0.82,1.19)	1.09(0.86,1.38) ^b	
Cesarean delivery	0.8(0.65,0.97)	0.78(0.60,1.01) ^c	
SGA			
Singleton	1.87(1.14,3.07)	1.58(0.81,3.05) ^b	
Twin	1.02(0.51,2.05)	0.79(0.33,1.90) ^b	
LGA			
Singleton	0.71(0.55,0.92)	0.81(0.58,1.13) ^b	
Twin	0.65(0.44,0.97)	0.62(0.38,1.00) ^b	

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. Odds ratios were obtained via a multiple logistic regression analysis. Significantly increased or reduced odds are indicated by boldface

a Adjusted for maternal age, maternal BMI, FSH, LH/FSH, AMH, AFC, P before embryo transfer, E2 before embryo transfer, endometrial thickness before transfer, number of embryos transferred, quality of embryos transferred, developmental stage of embryos transferred, IR and basic diseases of women

b Adjusted for maternal age, maternal BMI, FSH, LH/FSH, AMH, AFC, P before embryo transfer, E2 before embryo transfer, endometrial thickness before transfer, number of embryos transferred, quality of embryos transferred, developmental stage of embryos transferred, IR, basic diseases of women, HDP and GDM

c Adjusted for maternal age, maternal BMI, FSH, LH/FSH, AMH, AFC, P before embryo transfer, E2 before embryo transfer, endometrial thickness before transfer, number of embryos transferred, quality of embryos transferred, developmental stage of embryos transferred, IR, basic diseases of women, HDP, GDM and gestational age

showed that LS was appropriate for all types of patients with PCOS in terms of live birth outcome.

Currently, few studies exploring the efficacy of LS protocol in FET exist. A small number of retrospective studies and one small RCT previously compared pregnancy outcomes between LS with HRT. Several small studies by Aslih et al. [14] and Hu et al. [16] and Lee et al. [23] found that the CPRs of the LS were higher than that of HRT, with a combined OR of 1.54 (1.20, 1.98); miscarriage rates were lower than HRT, and no statistical differences were found [24]. Another study from Zhangjie et al. [17] was the largest thus far to compare pregnancy outcomes between and LS (n=1571) and HRT (n=1093); this was one of only two studies that compared the live birth outcome between the two protocols [14, 17]. Their results showed that after adjusting for confounders, there was no difference in CPRs between the two groups and that LS reduced the miscarriage rate and increased LBR. Our study had a large sample size and showed that the CPR and LBR in the LS group were significantly higher than in the HRT group; the LS group's miscarriage rate was also lower than that of HRT, and the results were consistent before and after adjusting for confounding factors. Most pregnancy and obstetric outcomes were consistent with letrozole alone and letrozole+HMG subpopulations, suggesting that there may be differences in ovarian responsiveness to letrozole, but clinical outcomes were not affected. Possible explanations for our results differing slightly from previous studies include: (1) our subjects were patients with PCOS who met the modified Rotterdam criteria, all of whom had irregular menstrual cycles, thus these patients had potential ovulation abnormalities, while Zhang et al. [17] studied patients with PCOS who met the Rotterdam criteria, which meant that not all of them had ovulation abnormalities; (2) the time of ovulation in the LS group of this study was determined based on ultrasound/P, while the previous study used a fixedtime transfer following HCG trigger. The ovulation time in this study was relatively more accurate, which may be one of the reasons for the relatively higher CPR in this study compared with others [17]. Published literature has confirmed that patients with PCOS are sensitive to estrogen and have a higher need for P [13, 25]. Letrozole, as an aromatase inhibitor, may improve clinical outcomes by reducing E2 and increasing P in the luteal phase. Our study showed that the average level of E2 on the day before transfer in the LS group was indeed significantly lower than that in the HRT group, while P levels were significantly higher than those in the HRT group, which may be associated with improved pregnancy outcomes in the LS group. In addition, other previous studies have found that letrozole can positively influence a number of markers of endometrial receptivity, such as leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), fibroblast growth factor 22, and endometrial mRNA expression of LIF/GP130 receptor (LIFR) [26-28], suggesting that letrozole may increase CPR and LBR by improving endometrial receptivity. In addition, subgroup analysis found that most subgroups of patients with PCOS may benefit from LS. There was no interaction effect found between endometrial preparation protocols and the stratified factors, such as age, AMH, or the like. We also looked at trends in OR values for each subgroup, taking into account that the post hoc subgroup analysis may have failed to detect statistical differences due to insufficient sample sizes in the subgroups. The benefit trend of LS appeared to be more pronounced in subgroups of patients with PCOS with prolonged menstrual cycles and IR than their opposite subgroups (OR of menstrual cycle≥35 days: 1.6, 95% CI:1.34-1.91 VS OR of menstrual cycle < 35 days: 0.93, 95% CI:0.57–1.53; OR of IR:1.65, 95% CI: 1.37-1.98 VS OR of non-IR:0.97, 95% CI:0.65-1.46). A possible reason for LS being more helpful in improving live birth outcome in patients with PCOS with IR and the prolonged menstrual cycle was that letrozole also alleviated IR by inhibiting aromatase activity. One of the causes of IR and prolonged menstrual cycle in patients with PCOS is abnormal metabolism of

Subgroups	n	HRT g	roup	LS gro	oup	aOR(95%Cl)ª			P value for interaction
Live birth rate	3707	874/1700	51.4%	1214/2007	60.5%	1.49(1.27,1.76)		;	
Maternal age (years)									0.414
<35	3370	829/1568	52.9%	1116/1802	61.9%	1.47(1.24,1.74)		·	
≥35	337	45/132	34.1%	98/205	47.8%	1.78(0.94,3.36)	H	•	
Maternal BMI(kg/m ²)									0.583
<25	3075	725/1397	51.9%	1013/1678	60.4%	1.48(1.23,1.78)			
≥25	632	149/303	49.2%	201/329	61.1%	1.51(1.01,2.27)			
AMH(ng/mL)									0.91
<15	2646	593/1161	51.1%	884/1485	59.5%	1.49(1.22,1.82)		÷	
≥15	1060	281/539	52.1%	329/521	63.1%	1.53(1.12,2.07)		+t	
Menstrual cycle (days)									0.135
<35	467	134/253	53.0%	121/214	56.5%	0.93(0.57,1.53)			
≥35	3164	715/1398	51.1%	1085/1766	61.4%	1.6(1.34,1.91)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
IR									0.063
No	658	187/345	54.2%	167/313	53.4%	0.97(0.65,1.46)	,		
Yes	1355	687/1355	50.7%	1047/1694	61.8%	1.65(1.37,1.98)		► • • •	
Elevation of FPG									0.428
No	2686	607/1171	51.8%	930/1515	61.4%	1.54(1.27,1.88)		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
Yes	459	109/212	51.4%	160/247	64.8%	1.8(1.09,2.98)		• • •	
Elevation of blood pressu	ire								0.573
No	3040	701/1377	50.9%	1001/1663	60.2%	1.46(1.22,1.75)		:	
Yes	602	151/282	53.5%	205/320	64.1%	1.69(1.11,2.57)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Central obesity									0.568
No	2728	639/1230	52.0%	900/1498	60.1%	1.5(1.23,1.82)			
Yes	979	235/470	50.0%	314/509	61.7%	1.46(1.06,2.01)		⊢−−−− −−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−	
Phenotypes of PCOS									0.425
А	1045	226/452	50.0%	374/593	63.1%	1.49(1.1,2.03)		1	
В	2	1/2	50.0%	1/2	50.0%	_			
С	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	—			
D	2660	648/1248	51.9%	839/1412	59.4%	1.49(1.23,1.82)			
								1 1.5 2 2.5 3	3.5
							a	aOR	

Fig. 1 Subgroup analysis of live birth rate. a Adjusted factors including maternal age, maternal BMI, FSH, LH/FSH, AMH, AFC, P before embryo transfer, E2 before embryo transfer, endometrial thickness before transfer, number of embryos transferred, quality of embryos transferred, developmental stage of embryos transferred, IR and basic diseases of women. When a factor is used for subgroup analysis, it is no longer adjusted

aromatase P450 serine [29]. Excess insulin acts on the insulin receptor in the pituitary gland, which increases LH secretion and stimulates the ovaries and adrenal glands to secrete androgens. It also increases free androgens by combining with sex hormone-binding globulins secreted by the liver, thereby inhibiting the maturation and excretion of follicles, further increasing the prolongation of the menstrual cycle. Previous literature has also reported that IR reduces CPR and increases miscarriage rates [30]. Our data suggested that letrozole may improve pregnancy outcomes by improving IR; however, more evidence is needed.

There have been a few studies comparing the effects of endometrial preparation protocols on maternal complications and obstetric outcomes. The studies from Zhangjie et al. [8] and Wenjuan Zhang et al. [31] were the only papers to compare the effects of LS and HRT on maternal complications and obstetric outcomes in women with PCOS or ovulatory disorder. Both Zhangjie et al. and the present study have shown that LS can reduce the risk of HDP. Previous studies have also found that the HRT increased the risk of HDP relative to the natural or stimulation cycles [7, 32, 33]. Recent work has also indicated that the absence of corpus luteum may be a potential factor predisposing women to HDP [6, 33, 34]. The absence of the corpus luteum in HRT cycles leads to the absence of substances such as relaxin and vascular endothelial growth factor, which affects early placental development and leads to HDP [35–37]. Our findings showed a significantly lower risk of HDP in the LS group (OR: 0.63, 95%CI:0.42–0.95), consistent with previous findings.

A high proportion of patients with PCOS have abnormal glucose metabolism, such as IR [38]. Continued development of IR may lead to glucose intolerance or type II diabetes [39]. The insulin signaling defect is due to serine phosphorylation of insulin receptors and IRS-1 secondary to intracellular serine kinases in PCOS, which results in decreased insulin-mediated activation of PI3-K and resistance to the metabolic actions of insulin has been postulated that the same kinase may inhibit insulin signaling in PCOS [29]. Letrozole may alleviate IR and the development of glucose tolerance and type II diabetes by reducing the action of P450. Therefore, letrozole may theoretically reduce the risk of GDM in patients with PCOS by alleviating abnormal glucose metabolism. This may explain to some extent our finding that LS reduced the risk of GDM compared with HRT; however, the specific mechanism for this needs to be further studied. Previous studies on the effect of endometrial preparation protocols on GDM have been inconsistent [7, 8, 31, 40, 41]. This may be due to inconsistent endometrial preparation protocols such as letrozole, clomiphene, or HMG induction across studies; alternatively, the study populations may have differed, and previous studies were not specific to patients with PCOS with irregular menstrual cycles.

Previous studies have found that LS reduced the incidence of cesarean delivery [8, 41]. In our univariate analysis, we also found that the incidence of cesarean delivery was lower in the LS group, but after adjusting for confounding factors including scarred uterus, there was no difference in the risk of cesarean delivery between LS and HRT groups. As scarred uterus was an important factor affecting cesarean delivery, this may be responsible for the inconsistency in this outcome. Of course, this difference can also be caused by the occurrence of cesarean by subjective patient choice.

Our study has several strengths. First, using a large sample size, this study comprehensively analyzed the effects of HRT and LS on pregnancy outcomes and obstetric outcomes for FET in women with PCOS, which could effectively combine the effectiveness and safety to comprehensively evaluate the pros and cons of the LS protocol. Second, this was the first study to explore applicable populations for the LS protocol, which may better guide clinical application. One limitation is the retrospective design of this study, which carries inherent bias. Patients receiving HRT and LS were non-randomized (mainly according to the doctor preference and patient wishes), so some characteristics differed between the two groups. Although we performed multivariable analysis to adjust confounding factors, this adjustment along with some unmeasured factors that could not be adjusted may still have an impact on pregnancy and obstetric outcomes. In addition, limited by retrospective studies, we could only observe the association of endometrial preparation protocols with outcomes, and the specific mechanism still needs further interventional and experimental studies.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this retrospective cohort study found that LS could increase CPR and LBR, and reduce the incidence of miscarriage, HDP, and GDM compared with HRT in women with PCOS. LS protocol is suitable for all types of patients with PCOS, especially those with prolonged menstrual cycles or IR. LS protocol is a superior option to HRT for FET in women with PCOS. Future RCTs and mechanistic studies are needed to validate our results.

Abbreviations

- PCOS Polycystic ovary syndrome
- LS Letrozole-stimulated HRT Hormone replacement treatme
- HRT Hormone replacement treatment HDP Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy
- GDM Gestational diabetes mellitus
- CPR Clinical pregnancy rate
- LBR Live birth rate
- BMI Body mass index
- FSH Follicle-stimulating hormone
- I H Luteinizing hormone
- AMH Anti-Mullerian hormone
- AFC Antral follicle count
- P Progesterone
- E2 Estradiol
- cOB Crude odds ratio
- aOR Adjusted odds ratio
- CI Confidence intervals
- SGA Small gestational age
- LGA Large gestational age
- IR Insulin resistance
- FPG Fasting plasma glucose

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12958-023-01154-x.

Supplementary Material 1. Additional files Additional Table 1: Pregnancy and obstetric outcomes of letrozole alone and letrozole + HMG subgroups

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Lu Tan and other staff members who assisted with data collection.

Author contributions

XJW, YL, GL, and FG contributed to the study conception, design and the interpretation of the results. SFC, HG, XFL, YT, SJZ, and YL were responsible for the treatment of those patients with PCOS. XJW, SJM., YQP, and HZT participated in data collation and analysis. XJW drafted the manuscript and YL revised it. All of the authors have reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version.

Funding

The study was supported by Hunan Provincial Grant for Innovative Province Construction (2019SK4012) and Research Grant of CITIC-Xiangya (YNXM-202203).

Data Availability

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Reproductive and Genetic Hospital of CITIC-Xiangya (Approval Number: LL-SC-2022-035). Due to the retrospective design, informed consent was not necessary, which is in accordance with the Institutional Review Board of the Reproductive and Genetic Hospital of CITIC-Xiangya.

Consent for publication

Not Applicable.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare(s) that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Central South University, Changsha 410008, Hunan, China ²Clinical Research Center for Reproduction and Genetics in Hunan Province, Reproductive and Genetic Hospital of CITIC-XIANGYA, NO. 567 Tongzipo West Road, Yuelu District, Changsha city 410008, Hunan Province, China

³Laboratory of Reproductive and Stem Cell Engineering, Key Laboratory of National Health and Family Planning Commission, Central South University, Changsha 410008, Hunan, China

Received: 29 March 2023 / Accepted: 15 October 2023 Published online: 27 October 2023

References

- Norman RJ, Dewailly D, Legro RS, Hickey TE. Polycystic ovary syndrome. Lancet. 2007;370:685–97.
- Teede HJ, Misso ML, Costello MF, Dokras A, Laven J, Moran L, Piltonen T, Norman RJ. Recommendations from the international evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod. 2018;33:1602–18.
- The TEAG. Consensus on infertility treatment related to polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod. 2008;23:462–77.
- Chen Z, Shi Y, Sun Y, Zhang B, Liang X, Cao Y, Yang J, Liu J, Wei D, Weng N, Tian L, Hao C, Yang D, Zhou F, Shi J, Xu Y, Li J, Yan J, Qin Y, Zhao H, Zhang H, Legro RS. Fresh versus frozen embryos for infertility in the polycystic ovary syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:523–33.
- Escobar-Morreale HF. Polycystic ovary syndrome: definition, aetiology, diagnosis and treatment. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2018;14:270–84.
- Singh B, Reschke L, Segars J, Baker VL. Frozen-thawed embryo transfer: the potential importance of the corpus luteum in preventing obstetrical Complications. Fertil Steril. 2020;113:252–7.
- Saito K, Kuwahara A, Ishikawa T, Morisaki N, Miyado M, Miyado K, Fukami M, Miyasaka N, Ishihara O, Irahara M, Saito H. Endometrial preparation methods for frozen-thawed embryo transfer are associated with altered risks of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, placenta accreta, and gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Hum Reprod. 2019;34:1567–75.
- Zhang J, Wei M, Bian X, Wu L, Zhang S, Mao X, Wang B. Letrozole-induced frozen embryo transfer cycles are associated with a lower risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy among women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2021;225:51–9.
- 9. Vinogradova Y, Coupland C, Hippisley-Cox J. Use of hormone replacement therapy and risk of venous thromboembolism: nested case-control studies using the QResearch and CPRD databases. BMJ. 2019:k4810.
- Cole PA, Robinson CH. Mechanism and inhibition of cytochrome P-450 aromatase. J Med Chem. 1990;33:2933–42.
- 11. Palomba S. Aromatase inhibitors for ovulation induction. J Clin Endocrinol Metabolism. 2015;100:1742–7.
- Jirge PRMR, Patil RSMD. Comparison of endocrine and ultrasound profiles during ovulation induction with clomiphene citrate and letrozole in ovulatory volunteer women. Fertil Steril. 2010;93:174–83.
- Legro RS, Brzyski RG, Diamond MP, Coutifaris C, Schlaff WD, Casson P, Christman GM, Huang H, Yan Q, Alvero R, Haisenleder DJ, Barnhart KT, Bates GW, Usadi R, Lucidi S, Baker V, Trussell JC, Krawetz SA, Snyder P, Ohl D, Santoro N, Eisenberg E, Zhang H. Letrozole versus clomiphene for infertility in the polycystic ovary syndrome. New Engl J Med. 2014;371:119–29.
- Aslih N, Dorzia D, Atzmon Y, Estrada D, Ellenbogen A, Bilgory A, Shalom-Paz E. Ovulatory-based FET cycles may achieve higher pregnancy rates in the general population and among anovulatory women. J Clin Med. 2021;10:703.
- 15. Hosseini-Najarkolaei A, Moini A, Kashani L, Farid Mojtahedi M, Hosseini-Najarkolaee E, Salehi E. The effect of letrozole versus artificial hormonal

endometrial preparation on pregnancy outcome after frozen-thawed embryos transfer cycles: a randomized clinical trial. Reprod Biol Endocrin. 2020;18.

- Hu Y, Chen Y, Zhu Y, Huang H. Letrozole stimulation in endometrial preparation for cryopreserved-thawed embryo transfer in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome: a pilot study. Clin Endocrinol. 2014;80:283–9.
- Zhang J, Liu H, Wang Y, Mao X, Chen Q, Fan Y, Xiao Y, Kuang Y. Letrozole use during frozen embryo transfer cycles in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril. 2019;112:371–7.
- The REAS. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Hum Reprod. 2004;19:41–7.
- Dai L, Deng C, Li Y, Yi L, Li X, Mu Y, Li Q, Yao Q, Wang Y. Population-based birth weight reference percentiles for Chinese twins. Ann Med. 2017;49:470–8.
- Dai L, Deng C, Li Y, Zhu J, Mu Y, Deng Y, Mao M, Wang Y, Li Q, Ma S, Ma X, Zhang Y. Birth weight reference percentiles for Chinese. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e104779.
- 21. Eckel RH, Alberti K, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. The Lancet. 2010;375:181–3.
- Lizneva D, Suturina L, Walker W, Brakta S, Gavrilova-Jordan L, Azziz R. Criteria, prevalence, and phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril. 2016;106:6–15.
- 23. Lee KH, Park IH, Sun HG, Kim JW, Chi HJ, Kim SG, Kim YY, Kwak SJ, Park JY, Yoo CS. Effective and patient-friendly endometrial preparation method for frozenthawed embryo transfer in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: Ovulation induction with letrozole. In: the 32nd Annual Meeting of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology, 2016;i208.
- 24. Zhang Y, Wu L, Li TC, Wang CC, Zhang T, Chung JPW. Systematic review update and meta-analysis of randomized and non-randomized controlled trials of ovarian stimulation versus artificial cycle for endometrial preparation prior to frozen embryo transfer in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Reprod Biol Endocrin. 2022;20.
- Wei D, Yu Y, Sun M, Shi Y, Sun Y, Deng X, Li J, Wang Z, Zhao S, Zhang H, Legro RS, Chen Z. The effect of supraphysiological estradiol on pregnancy outcomes differs between women with PCOS and ovulatory women. J Clin Endocrinol Metabolism. 2018;103:2735–42.
- 26. Bao SH, Sheng SL, Peng YF, De Lin Q. Effects of letrozole and clomiphene citrate on the expression of HOXA10 and integrin $\alpha\nu\beta3$ in uterine epithelium of rats. Fertil Steril. 2009;91:244–8.
- Cortínez A, De Carvalho I, Vantman D, Gabler F, Iñiguez G, Vega M. Hormonal profile and endometrial morphology in letrozole-controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in ovulatory infertile patients. Fertil Steril. 2005;83:110–5.
- Wallace KL, Johnson V, Sopelak V, Hines R. Clomiphene citrate versus letrozole: molecular analysis of the endometrium in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril. 2011;96:1051–6.
- Bellver J, Rodríguez-Tabernero L, Robles A, Muñoz E, Martínez F, Landeras J, García-Velasco J, Fontes J, Álvarez M, Álvarez C, Acevedo B. Polycystic ovary syndrome throughout a woman's life. J Assist Reprod Gen. 2018;35:25–39.
- Chang EM, Han JE, Seok HH, Lee DR, Yoon TK, Lee WS. Insulin resistance does not affect early embryo development but lowers implantation rate in in vitro maturation-in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer cycle. Clin Endocrinol. 2013;79:93–9.
- Zhang W, Liu Z, Zhang J, Ren B, Liu M, Li J, Zhang W, Guan Y. Comparison of perinatal outcomes of Letrozole-Induced ovulation and hormone replacement therapy protocols in patients with abnormal ovulation undergoing frozen-thawed embryo transfer: a propensity score matching analysis. Front Endocrinol. 2022;13.
- 32. Ginström Ernstad E, Wennerholm U, Khatibi A, Petzold M, Bergh C. Neonatal and maternal outcome after frozen embryo transfer: increased risks in programmed cycles. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019;221:121–6.
- 33. von Versen-Höynck F, Schaub AM, Chi Y, Chiu K, Liu J, Lingis M, Stan Williams R, Rhoton-Vlasak A, Nichols WW, Fleischmann RR, Zhang W, Winn VD, Segal MS, Conrad KP, Baker VL. Increased preeclampsia risk and reduced aortic compliance with in vitro fertilization cycles in the absence of a corpus luteum. Hypertension. 2019;73:640–9.
- Conrad KP, von Versen-Höynck F, Baker VL. Potential role of the corpus luteum in maternal cardiovascular adaptation to pregnancy and preeclampsia risk. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2022;226:683–99.
- 35. Johnson MR, Abdalla H, Allman AC, Wren ME, Kirkland A, Lightman SL. Relaxin levels in ovum donation pregnancies. Fertil Steril. 1991;56:59–61.

- Reynolds LP, Redmer DA. Expression of the angiogenic factors, basic fibroblast growth factor and vascular endothelial growth factor, in the ovary. J Anim Sci. 1998;76:1671–81.
- Vodstrcil LA, Tare M, Novak J, Dragomir N, Ramirez RJ, Wlodek ME, Conrad KP, Parry LJ. Relaxin mediates uterine artery compliance during pregnancy and increases uterine blood flow. Faseb J. 2012;26:4035–44.
- De Leo V, Musacchio MC, Cappelli V, Massaro MG, Morgante G, Petraglia F. Genetic, hormonal and metabolic aspects of PCOS: an update. Reprod Biol Endocrin. 2016;14.
- De Leo V, la Marca A, Petraglia F. Insulin-lowering agents in the management of polycystic ovary syndrome. Endocr Rev. 2003;24:633–67.
- Hu KL, Zhang D, Li R. Endometrium preparation and perinatal outcomes in women undergoing single-blastocyst transfer in frozen cycles. Fertil Steril. 2021;115:1487–94.
- Tao Y, Kuang Y, Wang N. Risks of placenta previa and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy are associated with endometrial preparation methods in frozenthawed embryo transfers. Front Med. 2021;8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.